arXiv:1604.04886v1 [math.AP] 17 Apr 2016

THE CAUCHY PROBLEM FOR THE PRESSURELESS EULER/ISENTROPIC NAVIER-STOKES EQUATIONS

YOUNG-PIL CHOI AND BONGSUK KWON

Abstract. We present a new hydrodynamic model consisting of the pressureless Euler equations and the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations where the coupling of two systems is through the drag force. This coupled system can be derived, in the hydrodynamic limit, from the particle-fluid equations that are frequently used to study the medical sprays, aerosols and sedimentation problems. For the proposed system, we first construct the local-in-time classical solutions in an appropriate L^2 Sobolev space. We also establish the *a priori* large-time behavior estimate by constructing a Lyapunov functional measuring the fluctuation of momentum and mass from the averaged quantities, and using this together with the bootstrapping argument, we obtain the global classical solution. The large-time behavior estimate asserts that the velocity functions of the pressureless Euler and the compressible Navier-Stokes equations are aligned exponentially fast as time tends to infinity.

Contents

1. Introduction 1
2. Local existence of classical solutions 6
2.1. Solvability of the associated linear system 7
3. A priori estimates for the large time behavior 8
4. Global existence of the classical solutions 16
Appendix A. Some proofs for local existence 25
Appendix B. High-order estimates of u, v and n 31
B.1. The proof of Lemma 4.5 32
B.2. The proof of Lemma 4.6 32
B.3. The proof of Lemma 4.7 34
Acknowledgments 38
References 38

1. Introduction

In this paper, we prove the global existence of classical solutions and obtain their large-time behavior for the coupled hydrodynamic system consisting of the pressureless Euler equations and the isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations where the coupling is through the drag force. Specifically, the hydrodynamic

Date: April 19, 2016.
2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 35Q30, 35Q70, 70B05, 35Q83.
Key words and phrases. Vlasov equations, pressureless Euler equations, compressible Navier-Stokes equations, spray models, coupled hydrodynamic equations, global existence of classical solutions, large-time behavior.

system is given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\
&\partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) = -\rho(u - v), \\
&\partial_t q + \nabla_x \cdot (qv) = 0, \\
&\partial_t (qv) + \nabla_x \cdot (qv \otimes v) + \nabla_x p(q) + Lv = \rho(u - v),
\end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

where the pressure p and the Lamé operator L are given by

$$\begin{aligned}
&p(q) = q^\gamma \quad \text{with} \quad \gamma > 1, \\
&Lv = -\mu \Delta_x v - (\mu + \lambda) \nabla_x (\nabla_x \cdot v) \quad \text{with} \quad \mu > 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lambda + 2\mu > 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $t \geq 0$ is time, $x \in \mathbb{T}^3$ is the spatial coordinate in the three dimensional periodic domain $\mathbb{T}^3$, $\rho(x,t)$ and $q(x,t)$ represent the particle density and the fluid density at a domain $(x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+$, and $u(x,t)$ and $v(x,t)$ represent the corresponding bulk velocities for $\rho(x,t)$ and $q(x,t)$, respectively.

Equations (1.1) can be formally derived from the particle-fluid equations, called the Vlasov/compressible Navier-Stokes equations, under the assumption that the particle distribution is mono-kinetic. More precisely, let $f(x,\xi,t)$ be the distribution function of particles at the position-velocity $(x,\xi) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3$ at time t, and n and v be the fluid density and velocity, respectively. Then the motion of the particles and fluid can be described by the following kinetic-fluid equations:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t f + \xi \cdot \nabla_x f + \nabla_\xi \cdot ((v - \xi) f) = 0, \quad (x,\xi,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\
&\partial_t q + \nabla_x \cdot (qv) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\
&\partial_t (qv) + \nabla_x \cdot (qv \otimes v) + \nabla_x p(q) + Lv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v - \xi) f \, d\xi.
\end{aligned} \tag{1.2}$$

The kinetic-fluid models describing the interactions between particles and fluids have received considerable attentions due to their medical and engineering applications [4, 5, 50, 51]. For the modeling and physical backgrounds for the particle-fluid equations, we refer the readers to [45, 48]. For the system (1.2) with a global alignment force, the first author and his collaborators showed the global existence of strong solutions and also obtained the large-time behavior estimates under suitable conditions on the initial data and viscosity μ in [3]. For the interactions with incompressible fluids, the global well-posedness and the large-time behavior of solutions are studied in [1, 2, 15, 18, 19]. When the diffusion effect $\Delta_\xi f$ is considered in the Vlasov equations $(1.2)_1$, the system (1.2) is called Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/compressible Navier-Stokes equations. For this system, the global existence of weak solutions is studied in a bounded domain with the Dirichlet or reflection boundary conditions in [41], and global existence of the classical solution in the periodic spatial domain is discussed in [10]. In [23], the existence of global strong solutions and large-time behavior for the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/compressible Euler equations have been studied in both the whole space and periodic spatial domain.

Here we shall give a brief outline for the derivation of the system (1.1) from (1.2). To this end, the macroscopic variables of the local mass ρ and momentum ρu for the distribution function f are introduced as follows.

$$\rho(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} f(x,\xi,t) \, d\xi \quad \text{and} \quad (\rho u)(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi f(x,\xi,t) \, d\xi \quad \text{for} \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

We next set the energy-flux $\hat{q}$, the pressure tensor $\hat{\sigma}$, and the temperature θ given by the fluctuation terms:

$$\hat{q}(x,t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi - u(x,t)|^2 (\xi - u(x,t)) f(x,\xi,t) \, d\xi, \quad \hat{\sigma}(x,t) := \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi - u(x,t)) \otimes (\xi - u(x,t)) f(x,\xi,t) \, d\xi,$$

and

$$(\rho\theta)(x,t) := \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi - u(x,t)|^2 f(x,\xi,t) \, d\xi.$$

First, by integrating the equation (1.2) in ξ, we obtain the continuity equation:

$$\frac{d\rho}{dt} + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0.$$

For the momentum equation, we multiply $(1.2)_1$ by ξ and again integrating in ξ to deduce that

$$\frac{d(\rho u)}{dt} + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x \cdot \hat{\sigma} = -\rho(u - v).$$

Then, we multiply the equations $(1.2)_1$ by $\frac{|\xi|^2}{2}$ and integrate in ξ to obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^2 f \, d\xi = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^2 \left(\nabla_x \cdot (\xi f) + \nabla_\xi \cdot ((v - \xi) f)\right) d\xi =: I_1 + I_2, \tag{1.3}$$

where I_1 and I_2 are given by

$$\begin{aligned} I_1 &= -\frac{1}{2}\nabla_x \cdot \left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi - u|^2 \xi f \, d\xi + \rho|u|^2 u + 2\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (\xi - u) \otimes (\xi - u) u f \, d\xi \right) = -\nabla_x \cdot \left(\hat{q} + \rho(|u|^2 + \theta) u + \hat{\sigma} u \right), \\ I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} \xi \cdot (v - \xi) f \, d\xi = \rho u \cdot v - \int_{\mathbb{R}^3} |\xi|^2 f \, d\xi = \rho u \cdot v - \left(\rho|u|^2 + 2\rho\theta \right) = 2\rho\theta - \rho u \cdot (u - v). \end{aligned} \tag{1.4}$$

Then combining (1.3) and (1.4), we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\rho \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} + \theta \right) \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\left(\rho(|u|^2 + \theta) + \hat{\sigma} \right) u + \hat{q} \right) = 2\rho\theta - \rho u \cdot (u - v).$$

Hence we collect all the equations of macroscopic variables and those of the compressible fluid variables (n, v) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ &\partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) + \nabla_x \cdot \hat{\sigma} = -\rho(u - v), \\ &\partial_t \left(\rho \left(\frac{|u|^2}{2} + \theta \right) \right) + \nabla_x \cdot \left(\left(\rho(|u|^2 + \theta) + \hat{\sigma} \right) u + \hat{q} \right) = 2\rho\theta - \rho u \cdot (u - v), \\ &\partial_t q + \nabla_x \cdot (qv) = 0, \\ &\partial_t (qv) + \nabla_x \cdot (qv \otimes v) + \nabla_x p(q) + Lv = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^3} (v - \xi) f \, d\xi. \end{aligned} \tag{1.5}$$

Notice that the system (1.5) is not closed due to the energy-flux $\hat{q}$. In order to close the system (1.5), we assume that the fluctuations are negligible and the velocity distribution is mono-kinetic, i.e., $f(x, \xi, t) = \rho(x,t)\delta(\xi - u(x,t))$, where δ denotes the standard Dirac delta function. Then, it is straightforward to check that the system (1.5) reduces to our proposed model (1.1). We remark that (1.1) can also be derived from the Vlasov-Boltzmann/compressible Navier-Stokes equations with the strong inelastic collision effect between particles following the similar argument as in [22]. We also refer the reader to [8, 9, 16, 26, 27, 28, 42] for the hydrodynamic limit from the particle-fluid equations to the coupled hydrodynamic equations and references therein.

For the sake of simplicity, we shall reformulate system (1.1) in the perturbation framework. Setting $q(t,x) := 1 + n(t,x)$, we rewrite the system (1.1) as follows.

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \qquad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+, \\ &\partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) = -\rho(u - v), \\ &\partial_t n + \nabla_x \cdot ((n+1)v) = 0, \\ &\partial_t ((n+1)v) + \nabla_x \cdot ((n+1)v \otimes v) + \nabla_x p(n+1) + Lv = \rho(u - v) \end{aligned} \tag{1.6}$$

with initial data:

$$(\rho(x,t), u(x,t), n(x,t), v(x,t))|_{t=0} = (\rho_0(x), u_0(x), n_0(x), v_0(x)). \tag{1.7}$$

Note that the initial density n_0 of compressible flow has zero mass, i.e., $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n_0(x) \, dx = 0$.

Before we state our main result, we briefly review some relevant existence theory and results of the large-time behaviors for the pressureless Euler equations and compressible Navier-Stokes equations. In the absent of the interactions between the fluids, i.e., no drag force term, we have the pressureless Euler equations from $(1.6)_1$-$(1.6)_2$:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t \rho + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u) = 0, \\ &\partial_t (\rho u) + \nabla_x \cdot (\rho u \otimes u) = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.8}$$

The pressureless Euler equations (1.8) have been studied in [34, 46, 49, 53] to account for the formation of the large scale structures in the universe, and it has also been used to describe the motion of free particles which stick each other upon the collision [7, 52]. One of the main difficulties in analyzing the system (1.8) arises from the formation of singularities. Specifically, no matter how smooth the initial data are, the equations may develop a singularity such as a δ-shock in finite-time. For this reason, it is natural to extend the notion of solutions to the measure-valued solutions. The existence of measure solutions of Riemann problem is first investigated in [6] for the one-dimensional case, and the global existence and the behavior of entropic weak solutions to the system (1.8) are obtained in [7, 52]. We refer the reader to [11] and the references therein for general survey of the Euler equations. Similarly, by neglecting the drag force term in $(1.6)_3$-$(1.6)_4$, one has the compressible Navier-Stokes equations:

$$\begin{aligned} &\partial_t n + \nabla_x \cdot ((n+1)v) = 0, \\ &\partial_t ((n+1)v) + \nabla_x \cdot ((n+1)v \otimes v) + \nabla_x p(n+1) + Lv = 0. \end{aligned} \tag{1.9}$$

The well-posedness for (1.9) have been extensively studied in [12, 13, 14, 20, 21, 25, 29, 30, 36, 39, 40, 44]. In particular, Lions provided general results for weak solutions to the multidimensional compressible Navier-Stokes equations with large initial data [36], and later the existence and regularity of weak solutions are further studied in [21, 25]. The local existence of strong solutions is investigated in [13, 14], and global existence of classical solutions is studied in [20, 39, 40] when the initial density $n_0 + 1$ is bounded away from zero. For the large-time behaviors of the solutions, we refer the reader to [39, 40, 44] for the whole space, and to [31, 32, 33] for the half space or the exterior domain. More recently, the exponential decay of weak solutions in bounded domain is obtained in [24] when the density n has an upper bound.

In the present work, we show the global existence of classical solutions, and obtain their large-time behavior, which asserts that the fluid velocities are aligned exponentially fast. As mentioned above, the pressureless Euler equations (1.8) may develop the δ-shock in finite-time even with smooth initial data. Concerning this issue, an interesting question is whether the drag force coupling two systems can prevent the formation of the finite-time singularities, and whether the system can admit the global classical solutions. Another natural question is, if the global solution exists, how the solutions behave as time tends to infinity. To this end, we employ a Lyapunov functional measuring the fluctuation of momentum and mass from the corresponding averaged quantities:

$$\mathcal{L}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v - j_c|^2 dx + |m_c - j_c|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \tag{1.10}$$

where $m := \rho u$, $j := (n+1)v$,

$$m_c(t) := \frac{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho u \, dx}{\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho \, dx}, \quad j_c(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1) v \, dx, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_c(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho \, dx. \tag{1.11}$$

By energy estimates, using a dissipative structure of the Navier-Stokes equations and the drag force term coupling two systems, we establish the large-time estimate in Proposition 3.1, under an appropriate smallness condition, that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \le C_1 \mathcal{L}_0 e^{-\lambda t} \quad \text{for some constants } C_1, \lambda > 0.$$

One of the most important features in establishing the estimate is to derive the dissipative terms using the Bogovskii's argument in the setting of spatial periodic domain. It is interesting that this asymptotic behavior

estimate (*a priori*) plays an important role in constructing the time-global solutions. We shall give a brief outline of the procedure here. The asymptotic behavior estimate implies that

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-m_c|^2dx \le C_1\mathcal{L}_0e^{-\lambda t}. \tag{1.12}$$

On the other hand, using the characteristic method together with the smallness assumptions $\|\nabla_x u\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3\times(0,T))} \le \epsilon_1$, we obtain the *a priori* lower bound of $\rho(x,t)$, i.e.,

$$\rho(x,t) \ge \left(\min_{x\in\mathbb{T}^3}\rho_0(x)\right)\exp\left(-\int_0^t \|\nabla_x u(\cdot,\tau)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)}d\tau\right) \ge \delta_0 e^{-\epsilon_1 t}. \tag{1.13}$$

The estimates (1.12) and (1.13) imply the L^2 exponential decay, $\|u(\cdot,t)-m_c(t)\|^2_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim e^{-(\lambda-\epsilon_1)t}$, where $\lambda-\epsilon_1>0$. Moreover, upon the interpolation of this and an appropriate high order L^2-Sobolev norm of u, say $\|u\|_{H^{s+2}(\mathbb{T}^3)}$, we have the exponential decay for $\|\nabla_x u(\cdot,t)\|_{H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)} \lesssim e^{-ct}$ for some $c>0$. This together with Sobolev embedding and (1.13) implies the density function $\rho(x,t)$ has a uniform lower bound, i.e., there exists a positive constant $\bar\rho$ such that $\rho(x,t)\ge\bar\rho$ for all $x\in\mathbb{T}^3$ and $t\ge 0$ (see Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 4.1). From this, we note that a combination of the drag force term and the dissipative structure of the Navier-Stokes equations can prevent the formation of the finite-time singularities, at least for the variables for the pressureless Euler system. We can reinterpret the drag forcing term as the "relative damping", through which stabilizing effect of the Navier-Stokes is transferred to the pressureless Euler system. A combination of the large-time estimate and standard energy estimates of the Navier-Stokes equations enables us to obtain the *a priori* uniform bounds for the coupled system. Using this, we prove the global existence of classical solutions and justify the time-asymptotic alignment behavior of (1.6).

Here we introduce several notations used throughout the paper. For a function $f(x)$, $\|f\|_{L^p}$ denotes the usual $L^p(\mathbb{T}^3)$-norm. $f\lesssim g$ represents that there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that $f\le Cg$. We also denote by C a generic positive constant depending only on the norms of the data, but independent of T. For simplicity, we often drop x-dependence of differential operators ∇_x, that is, $\nabla f := \nabla_x f$ and $\Delta f := \Delta_x f$. For any nonnegative integer s, H^s denotes the s-th order L^2 Sobolev space. $\mathcal{C}^s([0,T];E)$ is the set of s-times continuously differentiable functions from an interval $[0,T]\subset\mathbb{R}$ into a Banach space E, and $L^p(0,T;E)$ is the set of the L^p functions from an interval $(0,T)$ to a Banach space E. ∇^s denotes any partial derivative ∂^α with multi-index $\alpha, |\alpha|=s$. Before we state our main result, we define the solution space:

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{I}_s(T) := \big\{(\rho,u,n,v) :\ & \rho\in\mathcal{C}([0,T];H^s(\mathbb{T}^3))\cap\mathcal{C}^1([0,T];H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^3)),\\ & u\in\mathcal{C}([0,T];H^{s+2}(\mathbb{T}^3))\cap\mathcal{C}^1([0,T];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3)),\\ & n\in\mathcal{C}([0,T];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3))\cap\mathcal{C}^1([0,T];H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^3)),\quad\text{and}\\ & v\in\mathcal{C}([0,T];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3))\cap L^2(0,T;H^{s+2}(\mathbb{T}^3))\big\}.\end{aligned}$$

Theorem 1.1. *Let $s>\frac{5}{2}$. Suppose that the initial data (ρ_0,u_0,n_0,v_0) satisfy the following conditions:*

$$\begin{aligned}&(i)\quad \min_{x\in\mathbb{T}^3}\rho_0(x)>0,\quad 1+\min_{x\in\mathbb{T}^3}n_0(x)>0,\\ &(ii)\quad (\rho_0,u_0,n_0,v_0)\in H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)\times H^{s+2}(\mathbb{T}^3)\times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3)\times H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3).\end{aligned}$$

If $\|\rho_0\|_{H^s}+\|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}+\|n_0\|_{H^{s+1}}+\|v_0\|_{H^{s+1}}\le\varepsilon_1$ for sufficiently small $\varepsilon_1>0$, the initial value problem (1.6)-(1.7) has a unique global classical solution $(\rho,u,n,v)\in\mathcal{I}_s(\infty)$ satisfying

$$\mathcal{L}(t)\le C\mathcal{L}_0e^{-\lambda t},\quad t\ge 0 \tag{1.14}$$

for some positive constants λ and $C>0$ independent on t, where $\mathcal{L}(t)$ is defined in (1.10).

Remark 1.1. *We note that (1.14) implies that the velocity functions, $u(x,t)$ and $v(x,t)$ are aligned, and converge to $\frac{1}{1+\rho_c(0)}(m_c(0)+j_c(0))$ in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ as $t\to\infty$. To see this, we first find that $\rho(x,t)$ has a uniform bound from below, i.e., $\rho(x,t)\ge\bar\rho$ for some constant $\bar\rho>0$ (see Corollary 4.1), and this together with (1.14) implies $\|u-m_c\|^2_{L^2}\lesssim e^{-\lambda t}$. By interpolating $L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ and $H^3(\mathbb{T}^3)$ norms, and using Sobolev inequality, we deduce that $\|u-m_c\|_{L^\infty}\lesssim\|u-m_c\|_{H^2}\lesssim e^{-\tilde\lambda t}$ for some $\tilde\lambda>0$. Similarly we also obtain that*

$\|v - j_c\|_{L^\infty} \lesssim e^{-\tilde{\lambda}t}$. On the other hand, it follows from the conservation of the total momentum(see Lemma 3.1) that

$$\rho_c(0)m_c(t) + j_c(t) = \rho_c(0)m_c(0) + j_c(0) \quad \textit{for} \quad t \geq 0,$$

and this together with (1.14) *implies that*

$$(1+\rho_c(0))\left| m_c(t) - \frac{1}{1+\rho_c(0)}(m_c(0)+j_c(0))\right| \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

Moreover, it is an immediate consequence from (1.14) *that $|m_c(t) - j_c(t)| \to 0$. Combining these, one can conclude that*

$$\left\| u(\cdot,t) - \frac{1}{1+\rho_c(0)}(m_c(0)+j_c(0))\right\|_{L^\infty} + \left\| v(\cdot,t) - \frac{1}{1+\rho_c(0)}(m_c(0)+j_c(0))\right\|_{L^\infty} \to 0 \quad \textit{as} \quad t \to \infty.$$

That is, the two fluid velocities converge to the averaged initial total momentum in $L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$ as time evolves exponentially fast.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we study the local existence of the unique classical solutions to system (1.6). For this, we use the fact that the equations $(1.6)_3$-$(1.6)_4$ have the structure of symmetric hyperbolic system. We linearize the system, and show the existence and the uniform boundedness of the solutions for the linearized system. Then, we construct the approximated solutions, and provide that they are Cauchy sequences in the proposed Sobolev spaces. Section 3 is devoted to discuss the *a priori* estimate for the large-time behavior of solutions which actually gives us the uniform bounds of the density of pressureless Euler equations. Finally, in Section 4, we provide the *a priori* estimates of solutions in the proposed Sobolev spaces in Theorem 1.1 with the aid of the large-time behavior estimate. This concludes that the local solutions can be extended to the global solution and that the large-time behavior estimates are justified for the global solutions.

2. Local existence of classical solutions

In this section, we discuss local existence of the unique classical solution. For this, we shall use the structure of symmetric hyperbolic system for $(1.6)_3$-$(1.6)_4$. Our system (1.6) can be rewritten as

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \rho + \nabla\cdot(\rho u) = 0, \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+,\\
&\partial_t(\rho u) + \nabla\cdot(\rho u \otimes u) = -\rho(u-v),\\
&A^0(w)\partial_t w + \sum_{j=1}^3 A^j(w)\partial_j w = A^0(w)E_1(n,v) + A^0(w)E_2(\rho,u,n,v),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.1}$$

where $w = (n,v)^T$,

$$A^0(w) = \begin{pmatrix} \gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-2} & 0 \\ 0 & (1+n)\mathbb{I}_{3\times 3} \end{pmatrix},$$

$$A^j(w) = A^0(w)\begin{pmatrix} v_j & (1+n)\delta_{1j} & (1+n)\delta_{2j} & (1+n)\delta_{3j} \\ \gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\delta_{1j} & v_j & 0 & 0 \\ \gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\delta_{2j} & 0 & v_j & 0 \\ \gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\delta_{3j} & 0 & 0 & v_j \end{pmatrix},$$

$$E_1(n,v) = \frac{1}{1+n}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & (-Lv)_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & (-Lv)_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & (-Lv)_3 \end{pmatrix},$$

and

$$E_2(\rho,u,n,v) = \frac{1}{1+n}\begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & \rho(u_1-v_1) & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \rho(u_2-v_2) & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & \rho(u_3-v_3) \end{pmatrix}.$$

Now we present the local existence result.

Theorem 2.1. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. For any positive constants $\epsilon_0 < M_0$, there is a positive constant T_0 depending only on ϵ_0 and M_0 such that if $\|\rho_0\|_{H^s} + \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}} + \|n_0\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|v_0\|_{H^{s+1}} \le \epsilon_0$, then the Cauchy problem (2.1) has a unique classical solution $(\rho, u, n, v) \in \mathcal{I}_s(T_0)$ satisfying*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0} (\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s} + \|u(t)\|_{H^{s+2}} + \|n(t)\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|v(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}) \le M_0.$$

In what follows, we shall give a brief outline of the proof for local existence.

2.1. **Solvability of the associated linear system.** As a first step, we shall study the existence and uniqueness of the classical solutions for the linearized system associated with (2.1).

We set the norm of the function $W := (\rho, u, n, v) \in \mathcal{I}(T; s)$ as

$$\|W\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} := \|\rho\|_{H^s} + \|u\|_{H^{s+2}} + \|n\|_{H^{s+1}} + \|v\|_{H^{s+1}}.$$

For a given $\overline{W} := (\bar\rho, \bar u, \bar n, \bar v) \in \mathcal{I}(T; s)$, we consider the associated linear system:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \rho + \bar u \cdot \nabla \rho + \rho \nabla \cdot \bar u = 0,\\
&\rho \partial_t u + \rho \bar u \cdot \nabla u = -\rho(\bar u - \bar v),\\
&A^0(\bar w)\partial_t w + \sum_{j=1}^{3} A^j(\bar w)\partial_j w = A^0(\bar w)E_1(\bar n, v) + A^0(\bar w)E_2(\bar\rho, \bar u, \bar n, \bar v),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.2}$$

with the initial data $W_0 \in \mathcal{N}^s$, where $\bar w = (\bar n, \bar v)^T$. Then, one can show that the system (2.2) has a unique solution $W \in \mathcal{I}(T; s)$. Specifically we have the following lemma.

Lemma 2.1. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. Suppose that $\overline{W} \in \mathcal{I}(T; s)$ and $W_0 \in \mathcal{N}^s$. Then the initial value problem (2.2) with initial data $W_0 \in \mathcal{N}^s$ has a unique solution $W \in \mathcal{I}(T; s)$.*

Proof. This can be proven by a standard linear theory for the transport equations and hyperbolic system with an appropriate modification of regularity. □

Now, we construct the approximation sequence $W^m = (\rho^m, u^m, n^m, v^m)$ for the system (2.1) by solving the linear system:

$$\begin{aligned}
&\partial_t \rho^{m+1} + u^m \cdot \nabla \rho^{m+1} + \rho^{m+1} \nabla \cdot u^m = 0,\\
&\rho^{m+1}\partial_t u^{m+1} + \rho^{m+1} u^m \cdot \nabla u^{m+1} = -\rho^{m+1}(u^m - v^m),\\
&A^0(w^m)\partial_t w^{m+1} + \sum_{j=1}^{3} A^j(w^m)\partial_j w^{m+1} = A^0(w^m)E_1(n^m, v^{m+1}) + A^0(w^m)E_2(W^m),
\end{aligned} \tag{2.3}$$

with the initial data and first iteration step defined by

$$W^m(x)|_{t=0} = W_0 \quad \text{for all} \quad n \ge 1, x \in \mathbb{T}^3,$$

and

$$W^0(x, t) = W_0, \quad (x, t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times \mathbb{R}_+.$$

Here, for notational convenience, we set $w^m = (n^m, v^m)$. Then, by Lemma 2.1, one has that the approximation sequence $\{W^m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is well-defined. Furthermore, by a standard energy method for the transport equations and hyperbolic system, we can obtain the uniform bound for $\{W^m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ with a suitable choice of time T_0 as follow.

Lemma 2.2. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$. For any positive constants $\epsilon_0 < M_0$, there exists $T_0 > 0$ such that if $\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \epsilon_0$, then for each $m \ge 0$, $W^m \in \mathcal{I}_s(T_0)$ is well-defined and*

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0} \|W^m(t)\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le M_0 \quad \textit{for all} \quad m \ge 0.$$

Proof. For the detailed proof, see Appendix A. □

Next one can show, by establishing the estimate for the difference $(W^{m+1} - W^m)$ in a standard way, that the approximation sequence $\{W^m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times (\mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T_0;H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)))$.

Lemma 2.3. *Let (ρ^m, u^m, n^m, v^m) be a sequence of the approximated solutions with the initial data (ρ_0, u_0, n_0, v_0) satisfying $\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \epsilon_0$, where ϵ_0 chosen in Proposition 2.2. Then (ρ^m, u^m, n^m, v^m) is a Cauchy sequence in $\mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times (\mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T_0;H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)))$.*

Proof. For the detailed proof, see Appendix A. □

Interpolating this with the uniform bound of $\{W^m\}_{m=0}^{\infty}$ in $\mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s+2}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3))$ yields that

$$(\rho^m, u^m) \to (\rho, u) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty,$$

and

$$(n^m, v^m) \to (n, v) \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \times \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^{s}(\mathbb{T}^3)) \quad \text{as } m \to \infty.$$

Thus it only remains to show that $(\rho, u, n, v) \in \mathcal{I}_s(T_0)$. To this end, one can first prove the time-right continuity using a standard functional analytic argument together with the revisited energy estimates. For the hyperbolic variables (ρ, n, v), by simply considering the time reversal problem, one can show that (ρ, n, v) is the time-left continuous, in turn, it has the desired regularity. However, since the momentum equations are not time-reversible, one has to treat the compressible fluid velocity v in a different way. For this, we obtain a better energy estimate thanks to the smoothing effect of diffusion for v to show the desired regularity [38]. Here we remark that the energy method with the time-translated mollifier technique developed in [35] specialized for the initial-boundary value hyperbolic problem can also be used to show the desired regularity without the time-reversal argument.

Uniqueness: Let (ρ_1, u_1, n_1, v_1) and (ρ_2, u_2, n_2, v_2) be the classical solutions obtained in the part of existence with the same initial data (ρ_0, u_0, n_0, v_0). We set $\Delta(t)$ a difference between two classical solutions:

$$\Delta(t) := \|\rho_1(t) - \rho_2(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_1(t) - u_2(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|n_1(t) - n_2(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_1(t) - v_2(t)\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Then it directly follows from Lemma 2.3 that

$$\Delta(t) \lesssim \int_0^t \Delta(s)\, ds,$$

with $\Delta(0) = 0$. This yields that $\Delta(t) \equiv 0$ for all $t \in [0,T_0]$ and

$$\rho_1 \equiv \rho_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \quad u_1 \equiv u_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)),$$
$$n_1 \equiv n_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)), \text{ and } v_1 \equiv v_2 \quad \text{in } \mathcal{C}([0,T_0];L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)) \cap L^2(0,T_0;H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)).$$

This concludes the uniqueness of classical solutions

3. A priori estimates for the large time behavior

In this section, we study the large time behavior of the classical solutions to system (1.6)-(1.7). The estimates for the large time behavior will be crucially used to get the uniform bound for ρ in H^s-norm (see Lemma 4.1), by which one can conclude that the finite-time blow-up of the density for the pressureless Euler equations cannot occur. Before we proceed, we define

$$E(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u|^2 + (n+1)|v|^2 dx + \frac{1}{\gamma - 1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^\gamma dx. \tag{3.1}$$

Proposition 3.1. *Let (ρ, u, n, v) be the classical solutions to (1.6)-(1.7) in the interval $[0,T]$ satisfying*

1. *$\rho \in [0, \bar{\rho}]$, $n+1 \in [0, \bar{n}]$, $\rho_c(0) \in (0, \infty)$,*
2. *$v \in L^\infty(0,T;L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3))$,*
3. *$E(0)$ is small enough.*

Then we have

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \le C_1 \mathcal{L}_0 e^{-\lambda t}, \quad t \in [0,T],$$

for some constants C_1 *and* $\lambda > 0$*, where*

$$\mathcal{L}(t) = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-m_c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + |m_c - j_c|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx.$$

Remark 3.1. *Note that no assumption on the lower bounds of* ρ *and* $n+1$ *has been made for Proposition 3.1. As mentioned before, we make use of the Lyapunov functional proposed in* [17] *for the compressible fluid. This gives a sharper result than the one obtained in* [3].

Lemma 3.1. *Let* (ρ, u, n, v) *be the global classical solutions to the system* (1.6)-(1.7)*. Then there hold*

(*i*) *Conservations of the masses and total momentum:*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho\, dx = \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n\, dx = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\rho u + (n+1)v)\, dx = 0.$$

(*ii*) *Dissipation of the total energy:*

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}E(t) + \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla\cdot v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-v|^2 dx = 0.$$

Proof. A straightforward computation yields the conservation of masses and the total momentum. For the estimate of dissipation of the total energy, we use the following relation

$$\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v\cdot\nabla p\, dx = \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} p\, dx,$$

to deduce

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u|^2 dx = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho(u-v)\cdot u\, dx,$$

and

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v|^2 + \frac{2}{\gamma-1}(n+1)^\gamma dx = -\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx - (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla\cdot v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho(u-v)\cdot v\, dx.$$

Hence we obtain the desired result by combining the above two equalities. □

Remark 3.2. *It follows from Lemma 3.1 that*

$$E(t) \le E(0) =: E_0 \quad \text{for all} \quad t \ge 0.$$

The following is the Moser inequalities that will be used later.

Lemma 3.2. *(i) Let* $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $p \in [1,\infty]$, $h \in \mathcal{C}^k(\mathbb{T}^3)$*. Then there exists a positive constant* $c = c(k,p,h)$ *such that*

$$\|\nabla^k h(w)\|_{L^p} \le c\|w\|_{L^\infty}^{k-1}\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^p},$$

for all $w \in (W^{k,p} \cap L^\infty)(\mathbb{T}^3)$*.*

(ii) For any pair of functions $f, g \in H^m(\mathbb{T}^3) \cap L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$*, we obtain*

$$\|\nabla^k(fg)\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|f\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k g\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

Furthermore if $\nabla f \in L^\infty(\mathbb{T}^3)$*, we have*

$$\|\nabla^k(fg) - f\nabla^k g\|_{L^2} \lesssim \|\nabla f\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{k-1} g\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k f\|_{L^2}\|g\|_{L^\infty}.$$

The proof of Lemma 3.2 can be found in section 4 in [47].

Lemma 3.3. *Let (ρ, u, n, v) be the classical solutions to* (1.6)-(1.7). *Then there hold*

$$(i)\quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-m_c|^2dx = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(u-m_c)\cdot(u-v)dx.$$

$$(ii)\quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + \frac{2}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma dx\right) + \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(v-j_c)\cdot(u-v)dx.$$

$$(iii)\quad \frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|m_c-j_c|^2 = -\frac{1+\rho_c(0)}{\rho_c(0)}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(m_c-j_c)\cdot(u-v)dx.$$

Proof. A straightforward computation gives (i) and (ii). For the estimate of (iii), we use the conservation of total momentum,

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\rho+(n+1)v)dx = \rho_c(0)m_c'(t) + j_c'(t) = 0.$$

This implies that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}|m_c(t)-j_c(t)|^2 &= (m_c(t)-j_c(t))\cdot(m_c'(t)-j_c'(t))\\ &= (m_c(t)-j_c(t))\cdot((1+\rho_c(0))m_c'(t))\\ &= -\frac{1+\rho_c(0)}{\rho_c(0)}(m_c(t)-j_c(t))\cdot\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(u-v)dx.\end{aligned}$$

□

Now we define a temporal interacting energy-variation $\mathcal{E}$ and the corresponding dissipation $\mathcal{D}$ as follows.

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-m_c|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + \frac{2}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma dx + \frac{\rho_c}{1+\rho_c}|m_c-j_c|^2,$$

and

$$\mathcal{D}(t) := \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-v|^2dx,$$

where $\rho_c := \rho_c(0)$. Then it follows from Lemma 3.3 that

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{D}(t) = 0.$$

We next provide the following elementary estimates for the pressure and local momentum of the compressible fluid.

Lemma 3.4. [24] *1. Let $r_0, \bar{r} > 0$ and $\gamma > 1$ be given constants, and set*

$$f(r; r_0) := r\int_{r_0}^r \frac{h^\gamma - r_0^\gamma}{h^2}\,dh,$$

for $r \in [0, \bar{r}]$. Then, there exist positive constants C_1 and C_2 such that

$$C_1(r_0,\bar{r})(r-r_0)^2 \le f(r;r_0) \le C_2(r_0,\bar{r})(r-r_0)^2 \quad \text{for all } r\in[0,\bar{r}].$$

2. There holds

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma dx = \frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f(n+1;1)dx.$$

Lemma 3.5. *Let j_c be the local momentum of the compressible fluid defined in* (1.11). *Then there holds*

$$|j_c|^2 \le E_0 \quad \text{and} \quad |j_c'|^2 \le \rho_c\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-v|^2dx. \tag{3.2}$$

Proof. We first obtain by using the dissipation of the total energy in Remark 3.2 that

$$|j_c| \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v|dx \le \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le E(t)^{\frac{1}{2}} \le E_0^{\frac{1}{2}},$$

where we used $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n\, dx = 0$. We also easily find that

$$|j_c'| = \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho(u-v)dx \right| \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-v|dx \le \rho_c^{\frac{1}{2}} \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-v|^2 dx \right)^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

This completes the proof. □

Using Lemma 3.4.2, the temporal interacting energy-variation $\mathcal{E}$ can be written as

$$\mathcal{E}(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-m_c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + 2\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1) \int_1^{n+1} \frac{h^\gamma - 1}{h^2}\, dh dx + \frac{\rho_c}{1+\rho_c}|m_c - j_c|^2,$$

and it satisfies

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) + \mathcal{D}(t) = 0.$$

Furthermore, we find that $\mathcal{E}$ is equivalent to our proposed Lyapunov functional $\mathcal{L}$ in Proposition 3.1, i.e., there exists a positive constant C such that

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{L}(t) \le \mathcal{E}(t) \le C\mathcal{L}(t) \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0,T],$$

due to Lemma 3.4-(1). However, the dissipation $\mathcal{D}$ does not give the desired damping effect for the Lyapunov functional $\mathcal{L}$. More specifically, one can obtain the damping terms from $\mathcal{D}$ except the one for the density of the compressible fluid.

Lemma 3.6. *There exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that*

$$\mathcal{L}_p(t) \le C\mathcal{D}(t) \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in [0,T],$$

where $\mathcal{L}_p$ is given by

$$\mathcal{L}_p := \mathcal{L} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-m_c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + |m_c - j_c|^2.$$

Remark 3.3. *In* [43]*, the compressible Navier-Stokes equations without the pressure term is studied. If we consider the system $(1.6)_3 - (1.6)_4$ with no pressure term, i.e., $p \equiv 0$, then it follows from Lemma 3.6 that we have the exponential alignment between the two fluid velocities by choosing the Lyapunov functional $\mathcal{L}_p$ instead of $\mathcal{L}$. More specifically, if we set*

$$\mathcal{E}_p(t) := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho|u-m_c|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + \frac{\rho_c}{1+\rho_c}|m_c - j_c|^2,$$

then it is obvious to get there exists a positive constant $C > 0$ such that

$$C^{-1}\mathcal{L}_p(t) \le \mathcal{E}_p(t) \le C\mathcal{L}_p(t) \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Then since $\mathcal{E}_p(t) \le C\mathcal{L}_p(t) \le C\mathcal{D}(t)$, we obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}(t) + C^{-1}\mathcal{E}(t) \le 0, \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in [0,T],$$

and this yields

$$\mathcal{L}_p(t) \le \mathcal{E}(t) \le \mathcal{E}_0 e^{-Ct}, \quad \textit{for} \quad t \in [0,T].$$

Proof of Lemma 3.6. We first find that

$$\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx \le \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-v_c|^2 dx + |v_c - j_c|^2 \le 2\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-v_c|^2 dx \le 2\bar{n}c_p \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx. \tag{3.3}$$

Here we have used

$$|v_c - j_c|^2 = \left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v - v_c) dx \right|^2 \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v - v_c|^2 dx,$$

where c_p is the constant from Sobolev embedding. We also deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c|^2 dx + \rho_c |m_c - j_c|^2 \right) &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - v|^2 dx + 3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |v - j_c|^2 dx \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - v|^2 dx + 6c_p \left(\rho_c \bar{n} + \bar{\rho} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx, \end{aligned} \tag{3.4}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - v|^2 dx &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c + m_c - j_c + j_c - v|^2 dx \\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c|^2 dx + \rho_c |m_c - j_c|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |v - j_c|^2 dx \\ &\quad + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho (m_c - j_c) \cdot (j_c - v) dx + 2 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho (u - m_c) \cdot (j_c - v) dx \\ &\geq \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c|^2 dx + \frac{\rho_c}{2} |m_c - j_c|^2 - 3 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |v - j_c|^2 dx. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we combine the estimates (3.3) and (3.4) to conclude

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{1}{2}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - m_c|^2 dx + \rho_c |m_c - j_c|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v - j_c|^2 dx \right) \\ &\qquad \leq \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u - v|^2 dx + 2c_p \left(3\left(\rho_c \bar{n} + \bar{\rho} \right) + \bar{n} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx, \end{aligned}$$

that is,

$$\mathcal{L}_p(t) \leq C \mathcal{D}(t), \quad \text{for} \quad t \in [0, T],$$

where C is a positive constant satisfying

$$C \geq \frac{2}{\min\{1, \rho_c\}} \max\left\{ 1, \frac{2c_p \left(3\left(\rho_c \bar{n} + \bar{\rho} \right) + \bar{n} \right)}{\mu} \right\} > 0.$$

This completes the proof. □

In order to obtain the correct dissipation of $\mathcal{L}$, we present the periodic version of Bogovskii's argument, and it shows that pressure of the compressible fluid gives the desired dissipation.

For $f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^3), s \geq 1$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f = 0$, one can define a linear operator

$$\mathcal{B} : \left\{ f \in H^{s-1}(\mathbb{T}^3) | \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} f dx = 0 \right\} \mapsto \left[H^s(\mathbb{T}^3) \right]^3$$

by $\mathcal{B}[f] := \nabla \phi$ where ϕ is a unique solution to the equation $\Delta \phi = f$ in $\mathbb{T}^3$ with $\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \phi = 0$. Moreover there holds

$$\|\phi\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq C \|f\|_{H^{s-1}} \quad \text{for some positive constant} \quad C > 0. \tag{3.5}$$

This unique solvability and the estimate is true by the standard elliptic regularity theory [37, Lemma 7.9].

Then the relations between the norms of $\mathcal{B}[f]$ and f can be given in the following lemma.

Lemma 3.7. *For $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$, there exists a positive constant C^* independent of f such that*

$$\|\mathcal{B}[f]\|_{H^1(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C^* \|f\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

Moreover, if $f \in L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)$ given by the form $f = \nabla \cdot g$ for some $g \in \left[H^1(\mathbb{T}^3) \right]^3$, then

$$\|\mathcal{B}[f]\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)} \leq C^* \|g\|_{L^2(\mathbb{T}^3)}.$$

Proof. The desired results immediately follow by the elliptic estimate (3.5). □

We are now ready to present the proof of Proposition 3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.1. We first define a modified temporal interacting energy-variation $\mathcal{E}^\sigma$ and the corresponding dissipation $\mathcal{D}^\sigma$ as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) &:= \mathcal{E}(t) - 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[n]dx, \\
\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) &:= \mathcal{D}(t) + \sigma \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} ((n+1)v\otimes v) : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] + n((n+1)^\gamma - 1) - \mu \nabla v : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] - (\mu+\lambda)(\nabla\cdot v)n \, dx \right) \\
&\quad + \sigma \left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho(u-v)\mathcal{B}[n] - (n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[\nabla\cdot((n+1)v)] - ((n+1)j_c)_t \, \mathcal{B}[n] \, dx \right),
\end{aligned} \tag{3.6}$$

for any $\sigma > 0$. Then by a straightforward computation, we get

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) + \mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) = 0.$$

For the rest of this section, for the sake of clarity, we distinguish the positive constants which will appear in the following estimates. We first shall show that $\mathcal{E}^\sigma$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{L}$, i.e., there are positive constants c_1 and c_2 such that

$$c_1\mathcal{L}(t) \le \mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) \le c_2\mathcal{L}(t) \text{ for } \sigma > 0 \text{ sufficiently small.} \tag{3.7}$$

More specifically, c_1 and c_2 are given by

$$c_1 = \min\left\{1 - \sigma\bar{n}, \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_c+1}, C_1(\bar{n},\gamma) - \sigma C^*\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_2 = \max\left\{1 + \sigma\bar{n}, \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_c+1}, C_2(\bar{n},\gamma) + \sigma C^*\right\}.$$

To see this, we obtain the upper bound as

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) &:= \mathcal{E}(t) - 2\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[n]dx \\
&\le \mathcal{E}(t) + \sigma\left(\bar{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + C^*\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2dx\right) \\
&= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-m_c|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + \frac{2}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma dx + \frac{\rho_c}{1+\rho_c}|m_c-j_c|^2 \\
&\quad + \sigma\left(\bar{n}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + C^*\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2dx\right) \\
&\le \max\left\{1+\sigma\bar{n}, \frac{\rho_c}{\rho_c+1}, C_2(\bar{n},\gamma)+\sigma C^*\right\}\mathcal{L}(t).
\end{aligned} \tag{3.8}$$

Here we've used Lemma 3.7:

$$\left|\sigma\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[n]dx\right| \le \sigma\left(\frac{\bar{n}}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx + \frac{C^*}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2dx\right), \tag{3.9}$$

the definition of $\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t)$ in (3.6), and Lemma 3.4-(1). The lower bound can be proved similarly.

We claim that there exists a positive constant $c_3 > 0$ such that $\mathcal{L}(t) \le c_3 \mathcal{D}^\sigma(t)$ for sufficiently small $\sigma > 0$. For the sake of clarity, we rewrite $\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t)$ as

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) &= \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + (\mu+\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \cdot v|^2 dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u-v|^2 dx + \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} ((n+1)v \otimes v) : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] dx \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n((n+1)^\gamma - 1) dx - \sigma\mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla v : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] dx - \sigma(\mu+\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\nabla \cdot v) n \, dx \\ &+ \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho(u-v) \cdot \mathcal{B}[n] dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v-j_c) \cdot \mathcal{B}[\nabla \cdot ((n+1)v)] dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} ((n+1)j_c)_t \cdot \mathcal{B}[n] dx \\ &=: \sum_{i=1}^{10} I_i.\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of I_4, we can rewrite it by adding and subtracting to get

$$\begin{aligned}I_4 &= \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)((v-j_c) \otimes v) : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] dx + \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(j_c \otimes (v-j_c)) : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] dx \\ &\quad + \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n(j_c \otimes j_c) : \nabla \mathcal{B}[n] dx \\ &=: I_4^1 + I_4^2 + I_4^3.\end{aligned}$$

For the terms I_4^i, $i = 1, 2, 3$ can be estimated as

$$\begin{aligned}I_4^1 &\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} \bar{n} \|v\|_{L^\infty}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \\ I_4^2 &\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} \bar{n} E_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \\ I_4^3 &\le C^* \sigma E_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx,\end{aligned}$$

where we used Young's inequality together with (3.2) and Lemma 3.7. Thus, we have

$$I_4 \le \left(\frac{\sigma^{1/2} \bar{n} (\|v\|_{L^\infty} + E_0)}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + C^* \sigma (E_0 + \sigma^{1/2}) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx.$$

For the estimate of I_5, by Taylor expansion, one can obtain

$$I_5 = \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} ((n+1) - 1)((n+1)^\gamma - 1) dx \ge c_4 \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx,$$

where c_4 depends only on $\bar{n}$.

For the estimate of I_{10}, we notice that

$$((n+1)j_c)_t = -\nabla \cdot ((n+1)v) j_c + (n+1) j_c',$$

and this yields that

$$\begin{aligned}I_{10} &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} j_c \cdot ((n+1)v \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}[n]) dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1) j_c' \cdot \mathcal{B}[n] dx \\ &=: I_{10}^1 + I_{10}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Here $I_{10}^i, i = 1, 2$ are estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}
I_{10}^1 &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} j_c \cdot ((n+1)(v-j_c)\cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}[n])dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} j_c \cdot (nj_c \cdot \nabla \mathcal{B}[n])dx \\
&\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} E_0 \bar{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx + C^* \sigma E_0 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx \\
&\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} E_0 \bar{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + C^* \left(\sigma^{3/2} + \sigma E_0\right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \\
I_{10}^2 &\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} \bar{n}^2}{2} |j_c'|^2 + C^* \sigma^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx \\
&\le \frac{\sigma^{1/2} \bar{n}^2 \rho_c}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u-v|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^{3/2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used (3.2) in Lemma 3.5.

For I_9, we have that

$$\begin{aligned}
I_9 &= -\sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[\nabla \cdot ((n+1)(v-j_c))]dx - \sigma \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)(v-j_c)\mathcal{B}[\nabla \cdot (nj_c)]dx \\
&\le C^* \sigma \bar{n} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + \frac{\sigma^{1/2}\bar{n}}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + \frac{C^* \sigma^{3/2} |j_c|^2}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx \\
&\le \left(C^* \sigma \bar{n} + \frac{\sigma^{1/2}\bar{n}}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx + \frac{C^* \sigma^{3/2} E_0}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

Here we make use of Lemma 3.7 for the second inequality.

Similarly as in the previous estimates, we can handle the rest of the terms as

$$\begin{aligned}
I_6 &\le \frac{\mu}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^2 \mu \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \\
I_7 &\le \frac{\mu+\lambda}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \cdot v|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^2 (\mu+\lambda) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx, \\
I_8 &\le \frac{1}{4} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u-v|^2 dx + C^* \sigma^2 \bar{\rho} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

We now combine all the estimates above to find

$$\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) \ge \frac{\mu}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla \cdot v|^2 dx + \left(\frac{3}{4} - \frac{\sigma^{1/2}\bar{n}^2 \rho_c}{2} \right) \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u-v|^2 dx \\
+ c_5 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx - c_6 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx,
\end{aligned}$$

where c_5, c_6 are positive constants for $\sigma > 0$ and $E_0 > 0$ small enough, and are given by

$$c_5 := \sigma(c_4 - C^*(E_0 - \sigma^{1/2}(1+E_0) - \sigma(2\mu+\lambda) + \sigma\bar{\rho})), \quad c_6 := \sigma^{1/2}(\bar{n}(\|v\|_{L^\infty} + E_0) + C^* \sigma^{1/2} \bar{n} + \bar{n}).$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.3) that

$$\frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)|v-j_c|^2 dx \le 2\bar{n} c_p \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx,$$

Then we obtain

$$\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) \ge c_7 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla v|^2 dx + c_8 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \rho |u-v|^2 dx + c_5 \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n^2 dx,$$

where

$$c_7 := \frac{\mu}{2} - 4\bar{n} c_p c_6 \quad \text{and} \quad c_8 := \frac{3}{4} - \frac{\sigma^{1/2}\bar{n}^2 \rho_c}{2}.$$

We again use the estimate in the proof of Lemma 3.6 to have

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{D}^\sigma(t) &\geq \min\{c_7, c_8\}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-v|^2dx\right) + c_5\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}n^2dx \\ &\geq \frac{\min\{c_7,c_8\}}{2c_9}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-m_c|^2dx + \rho_c|m_c-j_c|^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)|v-j_c|^2dx\right) + c_5\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}n^2dx \\ &\geq \min\left\{\frac{\min\{c_7,c_8\}}{2c_9}c_{10}, c_5\right\}\mathcal{L}(t),\end{aligned}$$

where

$$c_9 := \max\left\{1, 2c_p\left(3\left(\rho_c\bar{n}+\bar{\rho}\right)+\bar{n}\right)\right\} \quad \text{and} \quad c_{10} := \min\{\rho_c, 1\}.$$

Hence, for $\sigma > 0$ and $E_0 > 0$ small enough, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) + \frac{c_{11}}{c_2}\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t) \leq 0,$$

where c_{11} is a positive constant given by

$$c_{11} := \min\left\{\frac{\min\{c_7,c_8\}}{2c_9}c_{10}, c_5\right\} > 0.$$

This together with (3.7) yields that

$$\mathcal{L}(t) \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}^\sigma(t)}{c_1} \leq \frac{\mathcal{E}^\sigma(0)}{c_1}e^{-\frac{c_{11}}{c_2}t} \leq \frac{c_2}{c_1}\mathcal{L}(0)e^{-\frac{c_{11}}{c_2}t}, \quad t \geq 0.$$

This completes the proof of Proposition 3.1. □

4. Global existence of the classical solutions

In this section, we provide the *a priori* estimates for global existence of the classical solutions to (1.6)-(1.7). The alignment estimate between the two fluid velocities derived in Section 3 plays a crucial role in obtaining the uniform bound for the density in the pressureless Euler equations. Using this we obtain the uniform estimates for the solution. This together with a standard continuation argument enable us to construct the global solution. To this end, we first define

$$\mathcal{S}(T;s) := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\|u(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|n(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^s}^2\right),$$

$$\mathcal{S}^*(T;s) := \sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\left(\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u(t)\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \|n(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{H^{s+1}}^2\right),$$

and

$$\mathcal{S}_0(s) := \|u_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|n_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^s}^2, \quad \mathcal{S}_0^*(s) := \|\rho_0\|_{H^s}^2 + \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + \|n_0\|_{H^{s+1}}^2 + \|v_0\|_{H^{s+1}}^2.$$

Lemma 4.1. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$ and $T > 0$ be given. Suppose $\mathcal{S}^*(T;s) \leq \epsilon_1$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Then we have*

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T}\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s} \leq C\|\rho_0\|_{H^s}, \tag{4.1}$$

where C is independent of T.

Proof. We first notice that the estimate for the time-asymptotic behavior in Proposition 3.1 does not require any conditions on the lower bounds of ρ and $n+1$. Here we obtain the uniform lower bound for ρ as follows: Let $x(t), t \geq 0$ be a characteristic curve, that is, $x(t)$ is a solution to

$$\frac{dx(t)}{dt} = u(x(t),t), \quad x(0) = x_0.$$

Then by the characteristic method and the smallness assumption $\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s+1}} \leq \epsilon_1$, we have

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_0(x_0)\exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla\cdot u(x(s),s))ds\right) \geq \left(\min_{x\in\mathbb{T}^3}\rho_0(x)\right)\exp\left(-t\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^3)}\right) \geq \delta_0 e^{-\epsilon_1 t}.$$

This together with Proposition 3.1, i.e., $\|\sqrt{\rho}(u-m_c)\|_{L^2} \le Ce^{-\lambda t}$, we can deduce that

$$\|u-m_c\|_{L^2} \le C\delta_0^{-1}e^{-(\lambda-\epsilon_1)t} \quad \text{for some} \quad \lambda>0.$$

Then we use a standard Sobolev inequality to get

$$\|\nabla u\|_{H^s} \le \|u-m_c\|_{H^{s+1}} \le C\|u-m_c\|_{L^2}^{1-\beta}\|u-m_c\|_{H^{s+2}}^{\beta} \le Ce^{-\tilde{\lambda}t}, \tag{4.2}$$

where $\beta := \frac{s+1}{s+2} \in (0,1)$ and $\tilde{\lambda} = (\lambda-\epsilon_1)(1-\beta)$ is a positive constant for sufficiently small $\epsilon_1>0$. This implies that $\nabla u \in L^1(0,T;H^s(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and, subsequently, we have

$$\|\rho\|_{H^s}^2 \le \|\rho_0\|_{H^s}^2 \exp\left(C\int_0^t \|\nabla u(\tau)\|_{H^s}d\tau\right) \le \|\rho_0\|_{H^s}^2 \exp\left(C\int_0^t e^{-\tilde{\lambda}\tau}d\tau\right) \le C\|\rho_0\|_{H^s}^2.$$

Here we used the Gronwall inequality to estimate $\|\rho\|_{H^s}^2$ as in the proof of Lemma 2.1. □

Corollary 4.1. *Under the same assumptions as in Lemma 4.1, there exists a constant $\underline{\rho}>0$ such that*

$$\rho(x,t) \ge \underline{\rho} \quad \textit{for all} \quad (x,t) \in \mathbb{T}^3 \times [0,T],$$

where $\underline{\rho}$ is independent of T.

Proof. Revisiting the characteristic method as in Lemma 4.1 together with a sharper estimate (4.2), we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\rho(x,t) &= \rho_0(x(0))\exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla\cdot u(x(s),s))ds\right) \\
&\ge \left(\min_{x\in\mathbb{T}^3}\rho_0(x)\right)\exp\left(-t\|\nabla u\|_{L^\infty((0,T)\times\mathbb{T}^3)}\right) \\
&\ge \delta_0\exp\left(-\epsilon_0 t\exp(-\tilde{\lambda}t)\right) \ge \delta_0 \min_{t\ge 0}\left(\exp\left(-\epsilon_0 t\exp(-\tilde{\lambda}t)\right)\right) \ge \underline{\rho} \quad \text{for all } t\ge 0.
\end{aligned}$$

□

Remark 4.1. *1. In order to obtain the uniform boundedness of ρ in $H^s(\mathbb{T}^3)$-norm, we need to show that $u \in H^{s+1+\epsilon_2}(\mathbb{T}^3)$ for any $\epsilon_2>0$.*

2. One can also find from Lemma 4.1 that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\|\rho(t)\|_{H^k} \le C\|\rho_0\|_{H^k} \quad \textit{for} \quad k\in[0,s].$$

We next show the uniform boundedness of the rest of terms in $\mathcal{S}^*(T;s)$ in ascending order with respect to the space-derivative of the solutions. We define

$$E^0(n,v) := \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left((1+n)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma n\right) + \frac{1}{2}(1+n)|v|^2.$$

Lemma 4.2. *For $\gamma>0$ and $\|n\|_{L^\infty} \le \epsilon_1 \le \frac{1}{2}$, there exists a positive constant $C>0$ such that*

$$C^{-1}\left(n^2+|v|^2\right) \le E^0(n,v) \le C\left(n^2+|v|^2\right),$$

i.e., it is simply denoted as $E^0(n,v) \approx n^2+|v|^2$.

Proof. It follows from Taylor expansion that

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left((1+n)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma n\right) = \frac{\gamma}{2}n^2 + \frac{\gamma(\gamma-2)}{6}n^3(1+\xi n)^{\gamma-3} \quad \text{for some } \xi\in(0,1).$$

This yields that for $\|n\|_{L^\infty} \le \epsilon_1 \le \frac{1}{2}$

$$\frac{1}{\gamma-1}\left((1+n)^\gamma - 1 - \gamma n\right) \ge \frac{n^2}{2}\left(\gamma - \frac{|\gamma(\gamma-2)|}{3}2^{|\gamma-3|}n\right) \ge C_{\epsilon_1,\gamma}\frac{n^2}{2}.$$

The upper bound of $E^0(n,v)$ can be obtained similarly. This yields the result. □

Then we now provide two lemmas on the estimates of the zeroth- and first-order derivative of the solutions. Since we already have that $\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s} \le C\|\rho_0\|_{H^s}$ in Lemma 4.1, we shall focus only on the estimates for the unknown functions, u, n, and v.

Lemma 4.3. *Let $s > \frac{5}{2}$ and $T > 0$ be given. Suppose that $\mathcal{S}^*(T; s) \le \epsilon_1$ for sufficiently small $\epsilon_1 > 0$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{S}(T; 0) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(0),$$

where C is independent of T.

Proof. Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} E^0(n,v)dx &= \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-1}n_t + \gamma n_t\right)dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} n_t|v|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)v\cdot v_t\,dx\\ &=: I_1 + I_2 + I_3,\end{aligned}$$

where $I_i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &= \frac{1}{\gamma-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\gamma(1+n)^{\gamma-1} + \gamma\right)(-\nabla n\cdot v - (1+n)\nabla\cdot v)dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla p(1+n)\cdot v\,dx,\\ I_2 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)v\cdot(v\cdot\nabla v)\,dx,\\ I_3 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)v\cdot(v\cdot\nabla v) + \nabla p(1+n)\cdot v dx - \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx - (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx\\ &\quad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(u-v)\cdot v\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Combining the estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} E^0(n,v)dx + \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(u-v)\cdot v\,dx.$$

Furthermore, using the momentum equations for u, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2dx = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho(u-v)\cdot u dx.$$

Thus, we have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} E^0(n,v) + \frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2dx\right) + \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-v|^2dx = 0,$$

and this yields, upon integration over $(0,t)$, that

$$\begin{aligned}&\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} E^0(n,v)dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{1}{2}\rho|u|^2dx + \mu\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dxds + (\mu+\lambda)\int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dxds + \int_0^t\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho|u-v|^2dxds\\ &\quad\le \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} E^0(n_0,v_0)dx + \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho_0|u_0|^2dx.\end{aligned}$$

Hence, by Lemma 4.2, this implies that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds\right) \lesssim \|n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For the estimate of $\|u\|_{L^\infty(0,T;L^2)}$, one can easily obtain

$$\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \le -\left(\frac{1}{2} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|v\|_{L^2}^2 \le -\left(\frac{1}{2} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\left(\|n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2\right),$$

this yields, by Gronwall's inequality, that

$$\|u\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\left(\|n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u_0\|_{L^2}^2\right).$$

Thus we arrive at

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|n(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|v(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds\right)\lesssim\|n_0\|_{L^2}^2+\|v_0\|_{L^2}^2+\|u_0\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Combining this with Remark 4.1.2, we have

$$\mathcal{S}(T;0)\le C\mathcal{S}_0(0).$$

□

Lemma 4.4. *Let $s>\frac{5}{2}$ and $T>0$ be given. Suppose $\mathcal{S}^*(T;s)\le\epsilon_1\ll 1$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{S}(T;1)\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).$$

Proof. First we easily find that

$$\begin{aligned}\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2+C(1-C\epsilon_1)\int_0^t\|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds\right)&\le C\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2+C\int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds\\&\le C\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2+C\mathcal{S}_0(0)\\&\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).\end{aligned}$$

For the estimate of $\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}$, we consider

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla n\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla n}{2}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}v\right)dx.$$

Here, for notational simplicity, we omit the summation, i.e., $f_i\,g_i:=\sum_{i=1}^3 f_i\,g_i$. Then we get

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\partial_i n\left(\frac{\partial_i n}{2}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}v_i\right)dx\\&=\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\partial_i n_t\left(\partial_i n+\frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}v_i\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\partial_i n\left(\frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\partial_t v_i\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\partial_i n\left(\frac{2(n+1)}{2\mu+\lambda}v_i n_t\right)dx\\&=:J_1+J_2+J_3.\end{aligned}$$

First, using the momentum equations for v, we estimate J_2 as

$$\begin{aligned}J_2=&-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^2(\partial_i n)v_j\partial_j v_i\,dx-\frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma|\partial_i n|^2dx+\frac{\mu}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_i n\partial_j^2 v_i\,dx\\&+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_i n\partial_{ij}v_j\,dx+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)(\partial_i n)\rho(u_i-v_i)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{\mu}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_i n\partial_j^2 v_i\,dx+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_i n\,\partial_{ij}v_j\,dx\\&\quad=\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}-\mu(\partial_j n\,\partial_i n+(n+1)\partial_{ij}n)\partial_j v_i-(\mu+\lambda)(\partial_j n\,\partial_i n+(n+1)\partial_{ij}n)\partial_i v_j\,dx\\&\quad=-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\partial_j n\,\partial_i n\,\partial_j v_i\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_{ij}n\,\partial_j v_i\,dx\\&\quad=\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_j n\,\partial_{ij}v_i\,dx.\end{aligned}$$

Using this, we have

$$\begin{aligned}J_2=&-\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^2(\partial_i n)v_j\partial_j v_i\,dx-\frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)^\gamma|\partial_i n|^2dx\\&+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)\partial_j n\partial_{ij}v_i\,dx+\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(n+1)(\partial_i n)\rho(u_i-v_i)dx.\end{aligned}$$

Next we split J_1 into two parts:

$$J_1^1 := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_i n_t \partial_i n \, dx \quad \text{and} \quad J_1^2 := \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_i n_t \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda} v_i \, dx.$$

Then we find

$$J_1^1 = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\partial_i n|^2 \partial_j v_j \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_i n \partial_j n \partial_i v_j \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\partial_i n \partial_{ij} v_j \, dx,$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^2 &= -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 v_i \left(\partial_{ij} n v_j + \partial_j n \partial_i v_j + \partial_i n \partial_j v_j + (n+1)\partial_{ij} v_j\right) dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(2(n+1)\partial_i n v_i v_j + (n+1)^2 \partial_i v_i v_j + (n+1)^2 v_i \partial_i v_j\right) \partial_j n \, dx \\
&\quad - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 v_i \partial_j n \partial_i v_j \, dx - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 v_i \partial_i n \partial_j v_j \, dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(3(n+1)^2 \partial_i n v_i + (n+1)^3 \partial_i v_i\right) \partial_j v_j \, dx \\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} 2(n+1)\partial_i n \partial_j n v_i v_j \, dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left(3(n+1)^2 \partial_i n v_i + (n+1)^3 \partial_i v_i\right) \partial_j v_j \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1^1 + J_2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\partial_i n|^2 \partial_j v_j \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \partial_i n \partial_j n \partial_i v_j \, dx - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 \partial_i n v_j \partial_j v_i \, dx \\
&\quad - \frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^\gamma |\partial_i n|^2 \, dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\partial_i n \rho (u_i - v_i) dx, \\
J_1^2 + J_3 &= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 \partial_i n v_i \partial_j v_j \, dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^3 \partial_i v_i \partial_j v_j \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Now we combine all estimates above to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla n \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla n}{2} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda} v\right) dx \\
&\quad = -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla n|^2 \nabla \cdot v \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla n \cdot (\nabla v \cdot \nabla n) dx - \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 \nabla n \cdot (v \cdot \nabla v) dx \\
&\qquad - \frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^\gamma |\nabla n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\nabla n \cdot \rho(u-v) dx \\
&\qquad + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 (\nabla n \cdot v)(\nabla \cdot v) dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^3 |\nabla \cdot v|^2 dx \\
&\quad \le C\epsilon_1 \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1 \|\nabla n\|_{L^2} \|\nabla v\|_{L^2} - \frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \\
&\quad \le -C(1 - C\sqrt{\epsilon_1})\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we have used $S^*(T;s) \le \epsilon_1$. By integrating it over $(0,t)$ with respect to t, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla n|^2 dx + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 \nabla n \cdot v \, dx + C(1 - C\sqrt{\epsilon_1})\int_0^t \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\quad \le \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla n_0\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\|(n_0+1)\sqrt{|\nabla n_0||v_0|}\|_{L^2}^2 + C\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\int_0^t \|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2}^2 ds + C\int_0^t \|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 ds \\
&\quad \le C\|\nabla u_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(0) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1),
\end{aligned}$$

where the L^2 estimate has been used. We also notice that

$$\left|\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)^2 \nabla n \cdot v \, dx\right| \le \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla n|^2 dx + C\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |v|^2 dx \le \frac{1}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} |\nabla n|^2 dx + C\mathcal{S}_0(0),$$

and this implies

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|\nabla n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0\int_0^t \|\nabla n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).$$

So far, we have shown that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|\nabla u(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + C_0\left(\int_0^t \|\nabla u(s)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right)\right) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1),$$

where C_0 and C are positive constants independent of t.

We finally estimate v in the H^1-norm. Note that v satisfies

$$v_t + v\cdot\nabla v + \frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1} + \frac{Lv}{n+1} = \frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v).$$

A straightforward computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2 dx &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla v\cdot\left(\nabla(v\cdot\nabla v) + \nabla\left(\frac{\nabla p}{n+1}\right) + \nabla\left(\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right)\right)dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla v\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)dx\\ &=: \sum_{j=1}^4 K_j.\end{aligned}$$

Here $K_j, j=1,\dots,4$ are estimated as follows.

$$K_1 = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla v\cdot\left(\nabla v\cdot\nabla v + v\cdot\nabla^2 v\right)dx \le C\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$K_2 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^2 v\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla p}{n+1}\right)dx \le \frac{\mu}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{|\nabla^2 v|^2}{n+1}dx + \frac{1}{\mu}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{|\nabla p|^2}{n+1}dx \le \frac{\mu}{4}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{|\nabla^2 v|^2}{n+1}dx + \frac{C\gamma}{\mu}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2,$$

$$K_3 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla v\cdot\nabla\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\Delta v + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{n+1}\nabla\nabla\cdot v\right)dx \le -\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{|\nabla^2 v|^2}{n+1}dx + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$K_4 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\Delta v\cdot\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)dx \le C\sqrt{\epsilon_1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}\|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, combining all the estimates, we obtain

$$\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2 dx + C(\mu - C\sqrt{\epsilon_1})\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla^2 v|^2 dx \le \frac{C\gamma}{\mu}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\sqrt{\rho}(u-v)\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2, \tag{4.3}$$

and by integrating it over $(0,t)$ with respect to t, we arrive at

$$\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\mu - C\epsilon_1)\int_0^t\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le \|\nabla v_0\|_{L^2}^2 + C\int_0^t\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\sqrt{\rho}|u-v|\|_{L^2}^2 ds \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T}\left(\|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla^2 v(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\right) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1),$$

where C is independent of t. Hence by combining Lemmas 4.1 and 4.3 we conclude that

$$\mathcal{S}(T;1) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).$$

□

Remark 4.2. *(Refined estimates for v) Notice that*

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v\cdot\left(v\cdot\nabla v+\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}+\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\cdot v dx\\ &=:\sum_{i=1}^{4} I_i,\end{aligned}$$

where $I_i, i=1,\cdots,4$ are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}&I_1\le C\epsilon_1\|v\|_{L^2}^2\le C\mathcal{S}_0(0),\\ &I_2\le C\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla p\|_{L^2}\le C\|v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1),\end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}I_3 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\mu\nabla\left(\frac{v}{n+1}\right)\cdot\nabla v-(\mu+\lambda)\nabla\cdot\left(\frac{v}{n+1}\right)\nabla\cdot v\,dx\\ &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{1}{n+1}\left(\mu|\nabla v|^2+(\mu+\lambda)|\nabla\cdot v|^2\right)dx+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{1}{(n+1)^2}\left(\mu v\nabla n\cdot\nabla v+(\mu+\lambda)v\cdot\nabla n\nabla\cdot v\right)dx\\ &\le -C\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx+C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}^2\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1),\end{aligned}$$

and

$$I_4\le\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{\rho}{n+1}|u|^2dx-\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{\rho}{n+1}|v|^2dx\le C\mathcal{S}_0(0).$$

Thus we find that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|v\|_{L^2}^2+\|v\|_{L^2}^2+C\mu\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1).$$

We can also deduce from (4.3) *that*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2+C(\mu-C\epsilon_1)\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2\le C\mathcal{S}_0(1). \tag{4.4}$$

We now provide the high-order estimates of u, v and n. The proofs of these are lengthy and technical, thus we postpone them to Appendix B.

Lemma 4.5. *Let $s>\frac{5}{2}$. Suppose $S^*(T;s)\le\epsilon_1\ll 1$. Then, for any $2\le k\le s+2$, we obtain*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2+C_1\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2\le\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

where $C_1:=C(1-\epsilon_1)$ is a positive constant independent of t.

Lemma 4.6. *Let the same assumptions hold as in Lemma 4.5. Then, for any $2\le k\le s+1$, we obtain*

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2+C_2\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}^2\le C\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2+C\epsilon_1\left(\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2+\|\nabla^{k-1}u\|_{L^2}^2\right)+C\mathcal{S}_0(1),$$

where $C_2:=C(\mu-C\epsilon_1)$ is a positive constant independent of t.

Lemma 4.7. *Let T be given. Suppose $S^*(T;s)\le\epsilon_1\ll 1$. Then for any $2\le k\le s+1$ we obtain*

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla^k n}{2}+\frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^{k-1}v\right)dx+C_3\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\qquad\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^{k-2}}^2+C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2+C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2+C\mathcal{S}_0(1),\end{aligned}$$

where $C_3:=\frac{C\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}$ is a positive constant independent of t.

Proposition 4.1. *Let T be given. Suppose $\mathcal{S}^*(T;s)\le\epsilon_1\ll 1$. Then we have*

$$\mathcal{S}^*(T;s)\le C_0\mathcal{S}_0^*(s).$$

Proof. We set

$$E_1(t) := \|\nabla v(t)\|_{L^2}^2,$$

and

$$E_k(t) := \delta_k^{-1}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla^k n}{2} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^{k-1} v \right) dx,$$

for $2 \le k \le s+1$, where $\delta_k > 0$ will be determined later. We now claim that for $2 \le k \le s+1$, there exist positive constants $\delta_k > 0$ such that

$$E_k(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le k-1} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le k} \delta_r \approx \|\nabla v\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^{k-2}}^2, \tag{4.5}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} &\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_k(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le l-1} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le k} \delta_r \right) \\ &\quad \le -C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_k, \epsilon_1) \sum_{2\le l\le k} \left(\|\nabla^l v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2}^2 \right) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1). \end{aligned} \tag{4.6}$$

For the proof of the claim, we use the inductive argument on k. We first show that (4.5) and (4.6) hold for $k = 2$. Since

$$\left| \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^2 n \cdot \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda} \nabla v \, dx \right| \le \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2,$$

we have

$$E_2 \ge \delta_2^{-1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 - C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus by choosing a sufficiently large positive constant $\delta_2 > 0$ satisfying $\delta_2 > C$ we get

$$E_2 + \delta_2 E_1 \ge \delta_2^{-1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + (\delta_2 - C)\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

For the upper bound of $E_2 + \delta_2 E_1$, one can easily obtain

$$E_2 + \delta_2 E_1 \le \delta_2^{-1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{3}{4}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus (4.5) holds for $k = 2$. Next, by combining (4.4) and the estimates in Lemmas 4.5 - 4.7, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \frac{d}{dt}\left(E_2(t) + \delta_2 E_1(t)\right) \le &-\left(C_2\delta_2 - C\right)\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^2}^2 - \left(C_2 - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^3 v\|_{L^2}^2 - \left(C_1 - C\epsilon_1\delta_2^{-1} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 \\ &- \left(C_3 - C\delta_2^{-1}\right)\|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(1). \end{aligned}$$

We then choose a positive constant $\delta_2 > 0$ large enough to have

$$\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_2(t) + \delta_2 E_1(t)\right) \le -C(\delta_2, \epsilon_1)\left(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{L^2}^2\right) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1),$$

where $C(\delta_2, \epsilon_1) > 0$ is a constant depending only on δ_2 and ϵ_1. Now we assume that (4.5) and (4.6) hold for $k = m \le s$. Then we notice that

$$E_{m+1}(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le m} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m+1} \delta_r = E_{m+1}(t) + \delta_{m+1}\left(E_m + \sum_{1\le n\le m-1} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m} \delta_r \right),$$

and where

$$E_m + \sum_{1\le n\le m-1} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m} \delta_r \approx \|\nabla v\|_{H^{m-1}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{m-2}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^{m-2}}^2.$$

On the other hand, E_{m+1} is estimated by

$$E_{m+1} \ge \delta_{m+1}^{-1}\|\nabla^{m+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{m+1} u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{4}\|\nabla^{m+1} n\|_{L^2}^2 - C\|\nabla^m v\|_{L^2}^2.$$

Thus, we choose a positive constant $\delta_{m+1} > 0$ large enough such that $\delta_{m+1} - C > 0$, and this gives the desired lower bound for E_{m+1}. Similarly, we can easily find the upper bound of E_{m+1}. Hence, we have that (4.5) holds for $2 \le k \le s+1$. Next we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_{m+1}(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le m} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m+1}\delta_r\right) &= \frac{d}{dt}E_{m+1}(t) + \delta_{m+1}\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_m + \sum_{1\le n\le m-1} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m}\delta_r\right)\\ &=: I_1 + I_2.\end{aligned} \tag{4.7}$$

Here, for the estimate of I_1, we again use the estimates in Lemmas 4.5 - 4.7 to get

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 \le &-\left(C_3 - C\delta_{m+1}^{-1}\right)\|\nabla^{m+1}n\|_{L^2}^2 - \left(C_1 - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^{m+1}u\|_{L^2}^2\\ &-\left(C_2\delta_{m+1}^{-1} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^{m+2}v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla^{m+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(1).\end{aligned} \tag{4.8}$$

On the other hand, it follows from our assumption that there exist positive constants $\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_m > 0$ such that

$$I_2 \le -\delta_{m+1}C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_m, \epsilon_1)\sum_{2\le l\le m}\left(\|\nabla^l v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2}^2\right) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1), \tag{4.9}$$

where $C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_m, \epsilon_1)$ is a positive constant independent of t. Then we combine the estimates (4.7)-(4.9) to have

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_{m+1}(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le m} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m+1}\delta_r\right)\\ &\le -\left(C_3 - C\delta_{m+1}^{-1}\right)\|\nabla^{m+1}n\|_{L^2}^2 - \left(C_1 - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^{m+1}u\|_{L^2}^2 - \left(C_2\delta_{m+1}^{-1} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^{m+2}v\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\quad - \left(\delta_{m+1}C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_m) - C\right)\|\nabla^{m+1}v\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\quad - \delta_{m+1}C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_m)\sum_{2\le l\le m}\left(\|\nabla^l v\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2}^2\right) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1),\end{aligned}$$

and this yields that, for $\delta_{m+1} > 0$ large enough, there exists a constant $C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_{m+1}, \epsilon_1) > 0$ such that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{d}{dt}\left(E_{m+1}(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le m} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le m+1}\delta_r\right)\\ &\quad\le -C(\delta_2, \cdots, \delta_{m+1}, \epsilon_1)\sum_{2\le l\le m+1}\left(\|\nabla^l v\|_{H^1}^2 + \|\nabla^l u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2}^2\right) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1).\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the claim. Next we set

$$\mathcal{X}_{s+1}(t) := E_{s+1}(t) + \sum_{1\le n\le s} E_n \prod_{n+1\le r\le s+1}\delta_r,$$

and

$$\mathcal{Y}_{s+1}(t) := \|\nabla v\|_{H^s}^2 + \|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^{s-1}}^2 + \|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{s-1}}^2.$$

Then it follows from (4.5) and (4.6) that

$$\frac{d}{dt}\mathcal{X}_{s+1}(t) + C\mathcal{X}_{s+1}(t) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(s+1),$$

and this again implies that

$$\mathcal{Y}_{s+1}(t) \le C\mathcal{X}_{s+1}(t) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(s+1), \tag{4.10}$$

where we used $\mathcal{X}_{s+1}(0) \le C\mathcal{S}_0(s+1)$. For the estimate of $\|\nabla^{s+2}u\|_{L^2}$, it follows from Lemmas 4.5 and 4.6 that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{d}{dt}\left(\|\nabla^{s+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + C_2\|\nabla^{s+2}u\|_{L^2}^2\right) &\le -C_1C_2\|\nabla^{s+2}u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\|\nabla n\|_{H^s}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^s u\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(1)\\ &\le -C_1C_2\|\nabla^{s+2}u\|_{L^2}^2 - C\|\nabla^{s+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(s+1),\end{aligned}$$

where we used the estimate (4.10). Finally, we apply the Gronwall's inequality and combine the estimates (4.1) and in Lemma 4.4 to conclude

$$\mathcal{S}^*(T;s) \le C\mathcal{S}_0^*(s).$$

This completes the proof. □

Proof of Theorem 1.1. We choose a positive constant $M := \min\{\epsilon_0, \epsilon_1\}$, where ϵ_0 and ϵ_1 are positive constants appeared in Theorem 2.1 and Proposition 4.1, respectively. We also choose the initial data $W_0 = (\rho_0, u_0, n_0, v_0)$ satisfying

$$\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \frac{M}{2\sqrt{1+C_0}},$$

where $C_0 > 0$ is given in Proposition 4.1. We now define the lifespan of solutions to the system (1.6)-(1.7):

$$T := \sup\left\{ t \ge 0 \quad : \sup_{0\le\tau\le t} \|W(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} < M \right\}.$$

Since

$$\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \frac{M}{2\sqrt{1+C_0}} \le \frac{M}{2} \le \epsilon_0,$$

Theorem 2.1 implies that $T > 0$. Suppose that $T < +\infty$. Then we can deduce from the definition of T and Theorem 2.1 that

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le T} \|W(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} = M. \tag{4.11}$$

On the other hand, it follows from Proposition 4.1 that

$$\sup_{0\le\tau\le T} \|W(\tau)\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \sqrt{C_0}\|W_0\|_{\mathcal{N}^s} \le \frac{M\sqrt{C_0}}{2\sqrt{1+C_0}} \le \frac{M}{2},$$

which is a contradiction to (4.11). Hence, one can conclude that $T = \infty$. This completes the proof. □

Appendix A. Some proofs for local existence

Proof of Lemma 2.2 . • Step 1(ρ-estimate): We first show the positivity of ρ. For this, we define the characteristic $x(s) := x(s;t,x)$ which solves the following differential equations:

$$\frac{dx(s)}{ds} = \bar{u}(s, x(s)),$$

with $x(t) = x$. Then we can easily find that for $0 \le t \le T_0$

$$\rho(x,t) = \rho_0(x_0)\exp\left(-\int_0^t \nabla\cdot\bar{u}(s,x(s))ds\right) \ge \rho_0(x_0)\exp\left(-CM_0T_0\right) > 0,$$

where we used $\|\nabla\bar{u}(s,x(s))\|_{L^\infty} \le C\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|\nabla\bar{u}\|_{H^2} \le CM_0$.

We next show the uniform boundedness of ρ. For any $0 \le k \le s$, it follows from $(2.2)_1$ that

$$\nabla^k\partial_t\rho = -\nabla^k(\bar{u}\cdot\nabla\rho) - \nabla^k(\rho\nabla\cdot\bar{u}).$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\bar{u}\cdot\nabla|\nabla^k\rho|^2dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}[\nabla^k,\bar{u}\cdot\nabla]\rho\cdot\nabla^k\rho\,dx\\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho\nabla^k(\nabla\cdot\bar{u})\cdot\nabla^k\rho\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}[\nabla^k,\rho\nabla\cdot]\bar{u}\cdot\nabla^k\rho\,dx\\ &:= \sum_{i=1}^4 I_i,\end{aligned} \tag{A.1}$$

where $[A,B]$ denotes the commutator operator, i.e., $[A,B] := AB - BA$. Here $I_i, i = 1,\dots,4$ are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot\bar{u})|\nabla^k\rho|^2dx \le \|\nabla\bar{u}\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2}^2 \le CM_0\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2}^2,\\ I_2 &\le \|[\nabla^k,\bar{u}\cdot\nabla]\rho\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2} \le CM\|\rho\|_{H^s}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2},\\ I_3 &\le \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{k+1}\bar{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2} \le CM_0\|\rho\|_{H^s}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2},\\ I_4 &\le \|[\nabla^k,\rho\nabla\cdot]\bar{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2} \le CM_0\|\rho\|_{H^s}\|\nabla^k\rho\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned} \tag{A.2}$$

We now combine (A.1) and (A.2), and sum over k to find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho\|_{H^s}^2 \le CM_0\|\rho\|_{H^s}^2,$$

and this deduces that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s} \le \|\rho_0\|_{H^s}e^{CM_0T_0}.$$

We then choose properly small positive constants ϵ_0, T_0, M_0 such that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|\rho(t)\|_{H^s} \le M_0.$$

• Step 2(u-estimate): We first notice from the positivity of ρ obtained in Step 1 that u satisfies

$$\partial_t u + \bar{u}\cdot\nabla u = -(\bar{u}-\bar{v}).$$

Then for $0 \le k \le s+2$ we have

$$\nabla^k\partial_t u = -\nabla^k(\bar{u}\cdot\nabla u) - \nabla^k(\bar{u}-\bar{v}) = -\bar{u}\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u - [\nabla^k,\bar{u}\cdot\nabla]u - \nabla^k(\bar{u}-\bar{v}),$$

and this deduces

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot\bar{u})|\nabla^k u|^2dx + \|[\nabla^k,\bar{u}\cdot\nabla]u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k\bar{u}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k\bar{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}\\ &\le CM_0\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0\|\nabla u\|_{H^{s-1}}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} + CM_0\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k\bar{v}\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C(1+M_0)\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0 + C\|\nabla^k\bar{v}\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then we now sum over k to find

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|u\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 \le C(1+M_0)\|u\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 + CM_0 + C\|\bar{v}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2,$$

and this yields

$$\|u\|_{H^{s+2}}^2 \le \|u_0\|_{H^{s+2}}^2e^{C(1+M_0)T_0} + e^{C(1+M_0)T_0}\left(CM_0T_0 + C\int_0^{T_0}\|\bar{v}\|_{H^{s+2}}^2ds\right).$$

Since $\bar{v}\in L^2(0,T_0;H^{s+2})$, we can again choose small positive constants ϵ_0, T_0, M_0 such that

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|u\|_{H^{s+2}} \le M_0.$$

• Step 3(w-estimate): Similar fashion with the estimate for positivity of ρ in Step 1, we can also find the positivity of $\bar{n}+1$. Furthermore we obtain that there exists a positive constant $c_0>0$ such that

$$c_0^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{4\times 4} \le A^0(\bar{w}) \le c_0\mathbb{I}_{4\times 4} \quad \text{for} \quad t\in[0,T_0].$$

We notice that $A^0(\bar{w})\in L^\infty(0,T_0;H^{s+1}(\mathbb{T}^3))$ and the constant c_0 is independent of M_0 and T_0. We first estimate L^2-norm of w. It follows from $(2.2)_3$ that

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\langle A^0(\bar{w})w,w\rangle - \langle \partial_t A^0(\bar{w})w,w\rangle\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\partial_j\langle A^j(\bar{w})w,w\rangle - \langle \partial_j A^j(\bar{w})w,w\rangle\right)\\ &\qquad = \langle A^0(\bar{w})E_1(\bar{n},v),w\rangle + \langle A^0(\bar{w})E_2(\bar{\rho},\bar{u},\bar{n},\bar{v}),w\rangle.\end{aligned}$$

Here the two terms in the right hand side of the above equation are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A^0(\bar{w})E_1(\bar{n},v),w\rangle = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v\cdot Lv\,dx = -\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v|^2dx - (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v|^2dx,\\ &\langle A^0(\bar{w})E_2(\bar{\rho},\bar{u},\bar{n},\bar{v}),w\rangle = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} v\cdot\bar{\rho}(\bar{u}-\bar{v})\,dx \le \|\bar{\rho}\|_{L^\infty}\|v\|_{L^2}\left(\|\bar{u}\|_{L^2}+\|\bar{v}\|_{L^2}\right) \le CM_0\|v\|_{L^2}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$\begin{aligned}\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A^0(\bar{w})w,w\rangle\big|_{t=t}\,dx\\ &\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A^0(\bar{w})w,w\rangle\big|_{t=0}\,dx + CM_0\int_0^t\|w(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds + CM_0\int_0^t\|v(s)\|_{L^2}ds\\ &\quad - \mu\int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds - (\mu+\lambda)\int_0^t\|\nabla\cdot v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds,\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le c_0^2\|w_0\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0T_0 + CM_0\int_0^t\|w(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds.$$

Then we obtain

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 \le \left(c_0^2\|w_0\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0T_0\right)e^{CM_0T_0}.$$

Thus we have

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|w(t)\|_{L^2} \le M_0.$$

by choosing small positive constants ϵ_0, T_0, M_0 suitably. Similarly, for $1\le k\le s+1$, we find

$$A^0(\bar{w})\partial_t\nabla^k w + \sum_{j=1}^3 A^j(\bar{w})\partial_j\nabla^k w = R_k(\bar{w},w) + A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k E_1(\bar{n},v) + A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k E_2(\overline{W}),$$

where

$$R_k(\bar{w},w) = -A^0(\bar{w})\left([\nabla^k, A^0(\bar{w})^{-1}\sum_{j=1}^3 A^j(\bar{w})\partial_j]w\right).$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}&\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\langle A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle - \langle\partial_t A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle\right) + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^3\left(\partial_j\langle A^j(\bar{w})\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle - \langle\partial_j A^j(\bar{w})\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle\right)\\ &\quad = \langle R_k(\bar{w},w),\nabla^k w\rangle + \langle A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k E_1(\bar{n},v),\nabla^k w\rangle + \langle A^0(\bar{w})\nabla^k E_2(\bar{W}),\nabla^k w\rangle.\end{aligned} \tag{A.3}$$

Here we first estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle \partial_t A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k w, \nabla^k w\rangle &\le \|\partial_t A^0(\bar w)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2}^2 \le CM_0\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2}^2,\\ \sum_{j=1}^3\langle \partial_j A^j(\bar w)\nabla^k w, \nabla^k w\rangle &\le \sum_{j=1}^3 \|\partial_j A^j(\bar w)\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2}^2 \le CM_0\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2}^2,\\ \langle R_k(\bar w, w), \nabla^k w\rangle &\le \|R_k(\bar w, w)\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2} \le CM_0\|\nabla^k w\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned} \tag{A.4}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned}\|R_k(\bar w, w)\|_{L^2} &\le \|A^0(\bar w)\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{j=1}^3 \|[\nabla^k, A^0(\bar w)^{-1}\partial_j]w\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\sum_{j=1}^3 \big(\|\nabla(A^0(\bar w)^{-1}A^j(\bar w))\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j w\|_{L^2} + \|\nabla^k(A^0(\bar w)^{-1}A^j(\bar w))\|_{L^2}\|\partial_j w\|_{L^\infty}\big)\\ &\le CM_0\|w\|_{H^{s+1}}.\end{aligned}$$

For the rest of terms, we find

$$\begin{aligned}&\langle A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k E_1(\bar n, v), \nabla^k w\rangle\\ &= \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+\bar n)\nabla^k\left(\frac{\nabla\nabla\cdot v}{1+\bar n}\right)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx + (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+\bar n)\nabla^k\left(\frac{\nabla\cdot\nabla v}{1+\bar n}\right)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &=: J_1 + J_2.\end{aligned} \tag{A.5}$$

We again decompose J_1 into three terms

$$\begin{aligned}J_1 &= \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx + \mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+\bar n)\nabla^k\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\right)\nabla\cdot\nabla v\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &\quad + \mu\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\binom{k}{l}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+\bar n)\nabla^l\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\right)\nabla^{k-l}(\nabla\cdot\nabla v)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &=: J_1^1 + J_1^2 + J_1^3,\end{aligned}$$

and $J_1^i, i = 1,2,3$ are estimated by

$$\begin{aligned}J_1^1 &= -\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla^{k+1}v|^2dx = -\mu\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}^2,\\ J_1^2 &\le \mu\|1+\bar n\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\nabla^k\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\right)\right\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^4}\\ &\le C\|\bar n\|_{H^k}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1} \le CM_0\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1},\\ J_1^3 &\le C\|1+\bar n\|_{L^\infty}\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^4}\left\|\nabla^l\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\right)\right\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^{k+2-l}v\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}\|\bar n\|_{H^k}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}} \le CM_0\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$J_1 \le -\mu\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}})\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}. \tag{A.6}$$

Similarly, we can also obtain

$$J_2 \le -(\mu+\lambda)\|\nabla^k\nabla\cdot v\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}})\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}. \tag{A.7}$$

By combining (A.5), (A.6), and (A.7), we have

$$\langle A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k E_1(\bar n, v), \nabla^k w\rangle \le -\mu\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}^2 - (\mu+\lambda)\|\nabla^k\nabla\cdot v\|_{L^2}^2 + CM_0(\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1} + \|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}})\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}. \tag{A.8}$$

We next estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\langle A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k E_2(\overline{W}),\nabla^k w\rangle &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+\bar n)\nabla^k\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\bar\rho(\bar u-\bar v)\right)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\bar\rho(\bar u-\bar v)\right)\cdot\nabla\left((1+\bar n)\cdot\nabla^k v\right)dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\bar\rho(\bar u-\bar v)\right)\cdot\left(\nabla\bar n\cdot\nabla^k v+(1+\bar n)\nabla^{k+1}v\right)dx\\ &\le \left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\bar\rho(\bar u-\bar v)\right)\right\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla\bar n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}+\|1+\bar n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}\right)\\ &\le CM_0\left(M_0+\|v\|_{H^2}+\|v\|_{H^{k-1}}\right)\left(\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}\right),\end{aligned}\tag{A.9}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{1}{1+\bar n}\bar\rho(\bar u-\bar v)\right)\right\|_{L^2} &\le \left\|\frac{\bar\rho}{1+\bar n}\right\|_{H^{k-1}}\|u-v\|_{L^\infty}+\left\|\frac{\bar\rho}{1+\bar n}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|u-v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\ &\le \|\bar\rho\|_{H^{k-1}}\|1/(1+\bar n)\|_{L^\infty}\|u-v\|_{H^2}+\|\bar\rho\|_{L^\infty}\|1/(1+\bar n)\|_{H^{k-1}}\|u-v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\ &\le CM_0\left(M_0+\|v\|_{H^2}+\|v\|_{H^{k-1}}\right).\end{aligned}$$

Now we collect the estimates (A.3), (A.4), (A.8), and (A.9) to get

$$\begin{aligned}\|\nabla^k w(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle\big|_{t=t}\,dx\\ &\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A^0(\bar w)\nabla^k w,\nabla^k w\rangle\big|_{t=0}\,dx+CM_0\int_0^t\|\nabla^k w(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds\\ &\quad+CM_0\int_0^t\left(\|\nabla^2 v(s)\|_{H^1}+\|\nabla^2 v(s)\|_{H^{k-1}}\right)\|\nabla^k v(s)\|_{H^1}ds\\ &\quad+CM_0\int_0^t\left(M_0+\|v(s)\|_{H^2}+\|v(s)\|_{H^{k-1}}\right)\left(\|\nabla^k v(s)\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^{k+1}v(s)\|_{L^2}\right)ds\\ &\quad-c_0\mu\int_0^t\|\nabla^{k+1}v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds-c_0(\mu+\lambda)\int_0^t\|\nabla^k\nabla\cdot v(s)\|_{L^2}^2ds,\end{aligned}$$

and by summing over k we have

$$\|\nabla w(t)\|_{H^s}^2\le C\|\nabla w_0\|_{H^s}^2+CM_0^3T_0+CM_0\int_0^t\|\nabla v(s)\|_{H^s}^2ds-(c_0\mu-CM_0)\int_0^t\|\nabla^2 v(s)\|_{H^s}^2ds,$$

due to $\|v\|_{L^2}\le M_0$. Since $\|\nabla v\|_{H^s}\le\|\nabla w\|_{H^s}$, we get

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|\nabla w(t)\|_{H^s}^2\le\left(C\|\nabla w_0\|_{H^s}^2+CM_0^3T_0\right)e^{CM_0T_0}.$$

for M_0 small enough. Hence this concludes

$$\sup_{0\le t\le T_0}\|\nabla w(t)\|_{H^s}\le M_0,$$

by selecting small positive constants ϵ_0, T_0, M_0. □

Proof of Lemma 2.3. For notational simplicity, we set $w^m=(n^m,v^m)$,

$$A_m^0:=A^0(w^m),\quad A_m^j:=A^j(w^m),\quad E_1^m:=E_1(n^m,v^{m+1}),\quad E_2^m:=E_2(W^m),$$
$$\rho^{m+1,m}:=\rho^{m+1}-\rho^m,\quad u^{m+1,m}:=u^{m+1}-u^m,\quad\text{and}\quad w^{m+1,m}:=w^{m+1}-w^m.$$

Then it follows from $(2.3)_1$ that

$$\partial_t\rho^{m+1,m}+u^{m,m-1}\cdot\nabla\rho^{m+1}+u^{m-1}\cdot\nabla\rho^{m+1,m}+\rho^{m+1,m}\nabla\cdot u^m+\rho^m\nabla\cdot u^{m,m-1}=0.$$

Upon L^2 estimate, we get

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\rho^{m+1,m}\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(u^{m,m-1})\cdot\rho^{m+1}\rho^{m+1,m}\,dx+\frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot u^{m-1})|\rho^{m+1,m}|^2dx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot u^m)|\rho^{m+1,m}|^2dx-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\rho^m\nabla\cdot(u^{m,m-1})\rho^{m+1,m}\,dx\\ &\le CM_0\left(\|\rho^{m+1,m}\|_{L^2}^2+\|u^{m,m-1}\|_{H^1}^2\right).\end{aligned}$$

We apply the Gronwall's inequality to obtain

$$\|\rho^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2ds.$$

We next find that

$$\partial_t u^{m+1,m}+u^{m,m-1}\cdot\nabla u^{m+1}+u^{m-1}\cdot\nabla u^{m+1,m}=-u^{m,m-1}+v^{m,m-1}.$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|u^{m+1,m}\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(u^{m,m-1}\cdot\nabla u^{m+1})\cdot u^{m+1,m}\,dx-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(u^{m-1}\cdot\nabla u^{m+1,m})\cdot u^{m+1,m}\,dx\\ &\quad-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}u^{m,m-1}\cdot u^{m+1,m}\,dx+\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}v^{m,m-1}\cdot u^{m+1,m}\,dx\\ &\lesssim\|u^{m,m-1}\|_{L^2}^2+\|u^{m+1,m}\|_{L^2}^2+\|v^{m,m-1}\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}\tag{A.10}$$

Similarly, we can also deduce

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla(u^{m+1,m})\|_{L^2}^2\lesssim\|u^{m,m-1}\|_{H^1}^2+\|u^{m+1,m}\|_{H^1}^2+\|v^{m,m-1}\|_{H^1}^2.\tag{A.11}$$

We now combine (A.10) and (A.11) to get

$$\|u^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{H^1}^2\lesssim\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2+\|u^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{H^1}^2+\|v^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2ds.$$

Then we apply the Gronwall's inequality to find

$$\|u^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{H^1}^2\lesssim\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2ds+\int_0^t\int_0^s\|v^{m,m-1}(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2d\tau ds,$$

where we used

$$\int_0^t\int_0^s\|u^{m,m-1}(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2d\tau ds\le T_0\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2ds.$$

Hence we have

$$\|\rho^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2+\|u^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{H^1}^2\lesssim\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{H^1}^2ds+\int_0^t\int_0^s\|v^{m,m-1}(\tau)\|_{H^1}^2d\tau ds.\tag{A.12}$$

We now estimate $w^{m+1,m}$ in L^2-norm. It follows from $(2.3)_3$ that $w^{m+1,m}$ satisfies

$$\begin{aligned}&A_m^0\partial_t w^{m+1,m}+\sum_{j=1}^3A_m^j\partial_j w^{m+1,m}\\ &=-(A_m^0-A_{m-1}^0)\partial_t w^m-\sum_{j=1}^3(A_m^j-A_{m-1}^j)\partial_j w^m+A_m^0E_1^m-A_{m-1}^0E_1^{m-1}\\ &\quad+A_m^0(E_2^m-E_2^{m-1})+(A_m^0-A_{m-1}^0)E_2^{m-1}.\end{aligned}\tag{A.13}$$

Note that

$$c_0^{-1}\mathbb{I}_{4\times4}\le A_m^0\le c_0\mathbb{I}_{4\times4}\quad\text{for all}\quad m\ge0,$$

where c_0 is a positive constant independent of m. Then we use (A.13) to get

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{2}\left(\partial_t\langle A_m^0 w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle - \langle \partial_t A_m^0 w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle\right)\\
&\quad+\frac{1}{2}\sum_{j=1}^{3}\left(\partial_j\langle A_m^j w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle - \langle \partial_j A_m^j w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle\right)\\
&\quad=-\langle (A_m^0 - A_{m-1}^0)\partial_t w^m, w^{m+1,m}\rangle - \sum_{j=1}^{3}\langle (A_m^j - A_{m-1}^j)\partial_j w^m, w^{m+1,m}\rangle\\
&\qquad+\langle A_m^0 E_1^m - A_{m-1}^0 E_1^{m-1}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle + \langle A_m^0(E_2^m - E_2^{m-1}), w^{m+1,m}\rangle + \langle (A_m^0 - A_{m-1}^0)E_2^{m-1}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle.
\end{aligned}$$

We notice that

$$\begin{aligned}
|A_m^0 - A_{m-1}^0| \lesssim |w^{m,m-1}|, \quad |A_m^j - A_{m-1}^j| \lesssim |w^{m,m-1}|,\\
|E_2^m - E_2^{m-1}| \lesssim |\rho^{m+1,m}| + |u^{m+1,m}| + |v^{m+1,m}| + |n^{m+1,m}|,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
\langle A_m^0 E_1^m - A_{m-1}^0 E_1^{m-1}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} L v^{m+1,m}\cdot v^{m+1,m} dx\\
&= -\mu\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla v^{m+1,m}|^2 dx - (\mu+\lambda)\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla\cdot v^{m+1,m}|^2 dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\|w^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 &\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A_m^0 w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle\big|_{t=t}\,dx\\
&\le c_0\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\langle A_m^0 w^{m+1,m}, w^{m+1,m}\rangle\big|_{t=0}\,dx\\
&\quad+C\int_0^t\left(\|w^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}+\|\rho^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}+\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}\right)\|w^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}\,ds\\
&\quad-c_0\mu\int_0^t\|\nabla v^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds - c_0(\mu+\lambda)\int_0^t\|\nabla\cdot w^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\\
&\le C\int_0^t\|w^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + C\int_0^t\|w^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + C\int_0^t\|\rho^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\\
&\quad+C\int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds - c_0\mu\int_0^t\|\nabla v^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds.
\end{aligned}\tag{A.14}$$

Now we collect the estimates (A.12) and (A.14) to find

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|\rho^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|w^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla v^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\\
&\quad\lesssim \int_0^t\|\rho^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t\|u^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t\|w^{m,m-1}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds\\
&\qquad+\int_0^t\|w^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds + \int_0^t\int_0^s\|\nabla v^{m,m-1}(\tau)\|_{L^2}^2 d\tau ds,
\end{aligned}$$

and this yields again

$$\|\rho^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \|u^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{H^1}^2 + \|w^{m+1,m}(t)\|_{L^2}^2 + \int_0^t\|\nabla v^{m+1,m}(s)\|_{L^2}^2 ds \lesssim \frac{T_0^{m+1}}{(m+1)!},$$

for $t \le T_0$. This completes the proof. □

Appendix B. High-order estimates of u, v and n

In this part, we provide the details of the proofs for Lemmas 4.5 - 4.7.

B.1. **The proof of Lemma 4.5.** For $2 \le k \le s+2$, we get

$$\nabla^k u_t = -\nabla^k(u\cdot\nabla u) - \nabla^k(u-v) = -u\cdot\nabla^{k+1}u - [\nabla^k, u\cdot\nabla]u - \nabla^k(u-v).$$

Then we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot u)|\nabla^k u|^2 dx + \|[\nabla^k, u\cdot\nabla]u\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2} - \|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 - \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2\\ &\le -\left(\frac{1}{2} - C\epsilon_1\right)\|\nabla^k u\|_{L^2}^2 + \frac{1}{2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof.

B.2. **The proof of Lemma 4.6.** Recall that v satisfies

$$v_t + v\cdot\nabla v + \frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1} + \frac{Lv}{n+1} = \frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v).$$

Then, for $2 \le k \le s+1$, it follows that

$$\nabla^k v_t + \nabla^k(v\cdot\nabla v) + \nabla^k\left(\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}\right) + \nabla^k\left(\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right) = \nabla^k\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right),$$

and

$$\nabla^k v_t = -v\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v - [\nabla^k, v\cdot\nabla]v + \nabla^k\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right) - \nabla^k\left(\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}\right) - \nabla^k\left(\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right).$$

This yields that

$$\begin{aligned}\frac{1}{2}\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(v\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v)\cdot\nabla^k v + [\nabla^k, v\cdot\nabla]v\cdot\nabla^k v - \nabla^k\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &\quad - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k\left(\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}\right)\cdot\nabla^k v + \nabla^k\left(\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right)\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx\\ &=: \sum_{i=1}^5 I_i.\end{aligned}$$

Here $I_i, i = 1, 2, 4$ are easily estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}I_1 &\le \frac{1}{2}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(\nabla\cdot v)|\nabla^k v|^2 dx \lesssim \epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2,\\ I_2 &\le \|[\nabla^k, v\cdot\nabla]v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} \lesssim \epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2,\\ I_4 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\,dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^{k-1}\left(\gamma(n+1)^{\gamma-2}\nabla n\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\,dx\\ &\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla^l((n+1)^{\gamma-2})||\nabla^{k-l}n||\nabla^{k+1}v|\,dx + C\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}|\nabla^k n||\nabla^{k+1}v|\,dx\\ &\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\|\nabla^l((n+1)^{\gamma-2})\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^{k-l}n\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\delta^{-1}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + C\delta\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}^2,\end{aligned}$$

where $\delta > 0$ will be determined later. For the estimate of I_3, we obtain

$$I_3 = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\,dx \le \left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)\right\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2}.$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{n+1}(u-v)\right)\right\|_{L^2} &\le \left\|\frac{\rho}{n+1}\right\|_{H^{k-1}}\|u-v\|_{L^\infty} + \left\|\frac{\rho}{n+1}\right\|_{L^\infty}\|u-v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\ &\le \left(\|\rho\|_{H^{k-1}}\left\|\frac{1}{n+1}\right\|_{L^\infty} + \|\rho\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{n+1}\right\|_{H^{k-1}}\right)\|u-v\|_{H^2} + C\epsilon_1\|u-v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\left(\|u-v\|_{H^2} + \|u-v\|_{H^{k-1}}\right),\end{aligned}$$

Thus we find

$$I_3 \le C\epsilon_1\|u-v\|^2_{H^{k-1}} + C\epsilon_1\|u-v\|^2_{H^2} + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Finally for the estimate I_5, we divide it into two parts:

$$\begin{aligned}I_5 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k v\cdot\nabla^k\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\nabla\cdot\nabla v + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{n+1}\nabla\nabla\cdot v\right)dx\\ &=: I_5^1 + I_5^2.\end{aligned}$$

Then I_5^1 is estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}I_5^1 &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{\mu}{n+1}\nabla\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\cdot\nabla^k v\,dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k v\cdot\nabla^k\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\right)\nabla\cdot\nabla v\,dx\\ &\quad + \mu\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\binom{k}{l}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k v\cdot\nabla^l\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\nabla^{k-l}\nabla\cdot\nabla v\,dx\\ &=: I_5^{1,1} + I_5^{1,2} + I_5^{1,3},\end{aligned}$$

where

$$\begin{aligned}I_5^{1,1} &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\nabla^k v\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\,dx\\ &= \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\frac{\mu\nabla n}{(n+1)^2}\cdot\nabla^k v\right)\cdot\nabla^{k+1}v\,dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\frac{\mu}{n+1}|\nabla^{k+1}v|^2dx\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|_{L^2} - C\mu\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2}\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|^2_{L^2} - C(\mu - C\epsilon_1)\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2},\\ I_5^{1,2} &\le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^4}\left\|\nabla^k\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right\|_{L^2}\\ &\le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^1}\|n\|_{H^k}\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2} + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 v\|^2_{H^1},\\ I_5^{1,3} &\lesssim \sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^4}\left\|\nabla^l\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^{k+2-l}v\|_{L^2}\\ &\lesssim \|\nabla^k v\|_{H^1}\|n\|_{H^k}\|\nabla^3 v\|_{H^{k-2}}\\ &\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2} + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|^2_{H^{k-1}}.\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$I_5^1 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|^2_{H^{k-1}} - C(\mu - C\epsilon_1)\|\nabla^{k+1}v\|^2_{L^2}.$$

Similarly, we can also obtain

$$I_5^2 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|^2_{H^{k-1}}.$$

This deduces

$$\frac{d}{dt}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + C(\mu - C\epsilon_1)\|\nabla^{k+1} v\|_{L^2}^2 \le \frac{C}{\mu}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + C\epsilon_1(\|\nabla^2 u\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^{k-1} u\|_{L^2}^2) + C\mathcal{S}_0(1),$$

where we chose $\delta = \mu/2$ and used $\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \le C\|\nabla^l v\|_{L^2}$ for $l \in [1, k+1]$.

B.3. **The proof of Lemma 4.7.** Similar to the previous arguments in Lemma 4.4, we estimate

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n \cdot \left(\frac{\nabla^k n}{2} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^{k-1} v\right) dx \\
&\quad = \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n_t \cdot \nabla^k n \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{(1+n)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^k n_t \cdot \nabla^{k-1} v \, dx \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \frac{(1+n)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^k n \cdot \nabla^{k-1} v_t \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1} n \cdot \left(\frac{2(1+n)}{2\mu+\lambda} n_t \nabla^{k-1} v\right) dx \\
&\quad =: \sum_{i=1}^4 J_i,
\end{aligned}$$

for $2 \le k \le s+1$.

$\diamond$ Estimate of J_1: A straightforward computation yields that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_1 &= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n \cdot \nabla^k \left(\nabla n \cdot v + (1+n)\nabla \cdot v\right) dx \\
&= -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n \cdot \left(v \cdot \nabla^{k+1} n\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} [\nabla^k, v\cdot\nabla] n \cdot \nabla^k n \, dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^k n \cdot \nabla^k \left((1+n)\nabla \cdot v\right) dx \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 J_1^i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $J_1^i, i = 1, 2, 3$ are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
&J_1^1 \le \|\nabla \cdot v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
&J_1^2 \le \|[\nabla^k, v\cdot\nabla] n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2, \\
&J_1^3 = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n \left(\partial_i n \nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_j + (n+1)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} v_j\right) dx - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n \left((\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n)\partial_j v_j + \nabla^{k-1} n \partial_{ij} v_j\right) dx \\
&\qquad - \delta_{k-2} \sum_{1\le l<k-1} \binom{k-1}{l} \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n \left(\nabla^l \partial_i n \nabla^{k-1-l}\partial_j v_j + \nabla^l n \nabla^{k-1-l}\partial_{ij} v_j\right) dx \\
&\quad \le C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} n \cdot \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i v_j \, dx + C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{k-1} n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{L^\infty} \\
&\qquad + C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2\|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty} + C\delta_{k-2}\left(\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{k-2}}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-2}} + \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-2}}\|\nabla^3 v\|_{H^{k-2}}\right) \\
&\quad \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\delta_{k-2}\left(\|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 + \|\nabla^3 v\|_{H^{k-2}}^2\right) \\
&\qquad + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} n \cdot \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i v_j \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Here we used the following estimates for the first term in J_1^3.

$$\begin{aligned}
&-\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n \left(\partial_i n \nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_j + (n+1)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} v_j\right) dx \\
&\quad = -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n)\partial_i n \nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_j \, dx + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} \left((\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} n)(n+1) + (\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n)\partial_j n\right)\partial_i v_j \, dx \\
&\quad \le C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3} (n+1)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij} n \cdot \nabla^{k-1}\partial_i v_j \, dx.
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we obtain

$$J_1 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 n\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i v_j\,dx.$$

$\diamond$ Estimate of J_2: For this, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2 &= -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^{k-1}v\cdot\left(\nabla^k(\nabla n\cdot v)+\nabla^k((1+n)\nabla\cdot v)\right)dx\\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(2(1+n)\nabla n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}v+(1+n)^2\nabla^k v\right)\cdot\nabla^{k-1}(\nabla n\cdot v)\,dx\\
&= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(2(1+n)\nabla n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}v+(1+n)^2\nabla^k v\right)\cdot\nabla^{k-1}((1+n)\nabla\cdot v)\,dx\\
&=: J_2^1+J_2^2.
\end{aligned}$$

Here J_2^1 is estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2^1 &\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(|\nabla n||\nabla^{k-1}v|+|\nabla^k v|\right)|\nabla^l v||\nabla^{k-l}n|\,dx + C\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(|\nabla n||\nabla^{k-1}v|+|\nabla^k v|\right)|v||\nabla^k n|\,dx\\
&\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\left(\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla^l v\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^{k-l}n\|_{H^1} + C\epsilon_1\left(\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we again used $\|\nabla v\|_{L^2}\le C\|\nabla^l v\|_{L^2}$ for $l\in[1,k+1]$ due to $k\ge 2$. Similarly, we also find

$$\begin{aligned}
J_2^2 &\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(|\nabla n||\nabla^{k-1}v|+|\nabla^k v|\right)|\nabla^l n||\nabla^{k-l}v|\,dx + C\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(|\nabla n||\nabla^{k-1}v|+|\nabla^k v|\right)|1+n||\nabla^k v|\,dx\\
&\le C\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\left(\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla^l n\|_{H^1}\|\nabla^{k-l}v\|_{H^1} + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} + C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\left(\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}+\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\right)\|\nabla v\|_{H^{k-1}} + C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

Thus we have

$$J_2 \le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2.$$

$\diamond$ Estimate of J_3: Note that

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3 &= -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\cdot\left(v\cdot\nabla^k v-[\nabla^{k-1},v\cdot\nabla]v-\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{1+n}(u-v)\right)\right)dx\\
&\quad -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\nabla p(n+1)}{n+1}\right)+\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{Lv}{n+1}\right)\right)dx\\
&=: \sum_{i=1}^5 J_3^i,
\end{aligned}$$

where $J_3^i, i=1,2,3$ are easily estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^1 &\lesssim \|v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2},\\
J_3^2 &\lesssim \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2},\\
J_3^3 &\lesssim \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^{k-1}\left(\frac{\rho}{1+n}(u-v)\right)\right\|_{L^2} \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|u-v\|_{H^k},
\end{aligned}$$

due to $\|\nabla v\|_{L^2} \le C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}$ for $k \in [1, s+1]$. For the estimate of J_3^4, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^4 &= -\frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\left((1+n)^{\gamma-2}\nabla n\right)dx \\
&= -\frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\binom{k-1}{l}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\nabla^l\left((1+n)^{\gamma-2}\right)\nabla^{k-l}n\right)dx \\
&\quad -\frac{\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^{\gamma}|\nabla^k n|^2dx \\
&=: J_3^{4,1}+J_3^{4,2}.
\end{aligned}$$

Here $J_3^{4,1}$ is estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^{4,1} &\lesssim \sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^l(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^{k-l}n\|_{L^4} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\|_{H^{k-1}}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

where we used

$$\begin{aligned}
\|\nabla(1+n)^{\gamma-2}\|_{H^{k-1}} &= \left\|\nabla\left(\frac{p}{(1+n)^2}\right)\right\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\lesssim \left\|\frac{\nabla p}{(1+n)^2}\right\|_{H^{k-1}} + \left\|p\frac{\nabla n}{(1+n)^3}\right\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\lesssim \|\nabla p\|_{H^{k-1}}\left\|\frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\right\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla p\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\frac{1}{(1+n)^2}\right\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\quad + \|p\|_{H^{k-1}}\left\|\frac{\nabla n}{(1+n)^3}\right\|_{L^\infty} + \|p\|_{L^\infty}\left\|\frac{\nabla n}{(1+n)^3}\right\|_{H^{k-1}} \\
&\le C\epsilon_1.
\end{aligned}$$

For the $J_3^{4,2}$, we have

$$J_3^{4,2} \le -\frac{C\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2,$$

due to $\|n\|_{L^\infty} \le \epsilon_1 \ll 1$. Thus we get

$$J_3^4 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 - \frac{C\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2.$$

We also find

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^5 &= \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\frac{\mu\nabla^{k-1}(\nabla\cdot\nabla v)}{n+1}+\frac{\mu+\lambda}{n+1}\nabla^{k-1}\nabla\nabla\cdot v\right)dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\binom{k-1}{l}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\nabla^l\left(\frac{\mu}{n+1}\right)\nabla^{k-1-l}(\nabla\cdot\nabla v)\,dx \\
&\quad + \frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\binom{k-1}{l}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)^2\nabla^k n\nabla^l\left(\frac{\mu+\lambda}{n+1}\right)\nabla^{k-1-l}(\nabla\nabla\cdot v)\,dx \\
&=: \sum_{i=1}^3 J_3^{5,i},
\end{aligned}$$

where $J_3^{5,i}, i=1,2,3$ are estimated as follows.

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^{5,1} &= \frac{\mu}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^k n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}(\nabla\cdot\nabla v)dx + \frac{\mu+\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^k n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}(\nabla\nabla\cdot v)dx\\
&= -\frac{\mu}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\partial_j n\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n + (1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\right)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx\\
&\quad -\frac{\mu+\lambda}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\partial_j n\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n + (1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\right)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i v_j\,dx\\
&= -\frac{1}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\left(\mu\partial_j n\nabla^{k-1}\partial_i n + (\mu+\lambda)\partial_i n\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j n\right)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx\\
&\quad -\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx\\
&\le C\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}\|\nabla n\|_{L^\infty} - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
J_3^{5,2}+J_3^{5,3} &\lesssim \sum_{1\le l\le k-1}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla^l\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right\|_{L^4}\|\nabla^{k+1-l}v\|_{L^4}\\
&\lesssim \|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\left\|\nabla\left(\frac{1}{n+1}\right)\right\|_{H^{k-1}}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^2 v\|_{H^{k-1}}\\
&\le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2.
\end{aligned}$$

This yields

$$J_3^5 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx,$$

and thus we have

$$J_3 \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|u-v\|_{H^k}^2 - \frac{C\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2 - \int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}\partial_{ij}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}\partial_j v_i\,dx.$$

$\diamond$ Estimate of J_4: Since

$$J_4 = -\frac{2}{2\mu+\lambda}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}(1+n)\nabla^{k-1}n\cdot\nabla^{k-1}v\left(\nabla n\cdot v + (1+n)\nabla\cdot v\right)dx,$$

we easily obtain

$$J_4 \lesssim \|\nabla^{k-1}n\|_{L^2}\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}\left(\|\nabla n\cdot v\|_{L^\infty} + \|\nabla v\|_{L^\infty}\right) \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k-1}n\|_{L^2}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^{k-1}v\|_{L^2}^2$$

We finally combine all estimates above to have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla^k n}{2} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^{k-1}v\right)dx + \frac{C\gamma}{2\mu+\lambda}\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\qquad \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla n\|_{H^{k-1}}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|u-v\|_{H^k}^2 + C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2,
\end{aligned}$$

and this deduces

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{d}{dt}\int_{\mathbb{T}^3}\nabla^k n\cdot\left(\frac{\nabla^k n}{2} + \frac{(n+1)^2}{2\mu+\lambda}\nabla^{k-1}v\right)dx + C_3\|\nabla^k n\|_{L^2}^2\\
&\qquad \le C\epsilon_1\|\nabla v\|_{H^k}^2 + C\epsilon_1\|\nabla^2 u\|_{H^{k-2}}^2 + C\|\nabla^k v\|_{L^2}^2 + C\mathcal{S}_0(1),
\end{aligned}$$

due to $\|\nabla n\|_{L^2}\le C\|\nabla^l n\|_{L^2}$ for $l\in[1,k]$.

Acknowledgments

Y.-P. Choi was partially supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea (NRF) funded by the Ministry of Education, Science and Technology (2012R1A6A3A030 -39496) and Engineering and Physical Sciences Research Council(EP/K00804/1). Y.-P. Choi also acknowledges the support of the ERC-Starting Grant HDSPCONTR "High-Dimensional Sparse Optimal Control". B. Kwon was supported by Basic Science Research Program through the National Research Foundation of Korea(NRF) funded by the Ministry of Science, ICT and Future Planning (2015R1C1A1A02037662)

References

[1] H.-O. Bae, Y.-P. Choi, S.-Y. Ha, and M.-J. Kang, Time-asymptotic interaction of flocking particles and incompressible viscous fluid, Nonlinearity, 25, (2012), 1155 –1177.
[2] H.-O. Bae, Y.-P. Choi, S.-Y. Ha, and M.-J. Kang, Global existence of strong solution for the Cucker-Smale-Navier-Stokes system, J. Diff. Eqns., 257, (2014), 2225–2255.
[3] H.-O. Bae, Y.-P. Choi, S.-Y. Ha, and M.-J. Kang, Asymptotic flocking dynamics of Cucker-Smale particles immersed in compressible fluids, Disc. Cont. Dyn. Sys.-A, 34, (2014), 4419–4458.
[4] C. Baranger, L. Boudin, P.-E. Jabin, and S. Mancini, A modelling of biospray for the upper airways, CEMRACS 2004-mathematics and applications to biology and medicine, ESAIM Proc., 14, (2005), 41–47.
[5] L. Boudin, L. Desvillettes, and R. Motte, A modelling of compressible droplets in a fluid, Comm. Math. Sci., 1, (2003), 657–669.
[6] F. Bouchut, On zero pressure gas dynamics, Advances in Kinetic Theory and Computing, Ser. Adv. Math. Appl. Sci., 22, World Scientific, River Edge, NJ, (1994), 171–190.
[7] Y. Brenier and E. Grenier, Sticky particles and scalar conservation laws, SIAM J. Numer. Anal., 35, (1998), 2317–2328.
[8] J. A. Carrillo, Y.-P. Choi, and T. K. Karper, On the analysis of a coupled kinetic-fluid model with local alignment forces, Ann. I. H. Poincaré - AN (2014), http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.anihpc.2014.10.002.
[9] J. A. Carrillo and T. Goudon, Stability and asymptotic analysis of a fluid-particle interaction model, Comm. PDE, 31, (2006), 1349–1379.
[10] M. Chae, K. Kang, and J. Lee, Global classical solutions for a compressible fluid-particle interaction model, J. Hyperbolic Diff. Eqns., 10, (2013), 537–562.
[11] G.-Q. Chen, Euler equations and related hyperbolic conservation laws, In: Handbook of differential equations, 2, edited by C. M. Dafermos, E. Feireisl, Amsterdam: Elsevier Science, (2005), 1–104.
[12] Y. Cho, High regularity of solutions of compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Adv. Diff. Eqns., 12, (2007), 893–960.
[13] Y. Cho, H.-J. Choe, and H. Kim, Unique solvability of the initial boundary value problems for compressible viscous fluids, J. Math. Pures Appl., 83, (2004), 243–275.
[14] H.-J. Choe and H. Kim, Strong solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for isentropic compressible fluids, J. Diff. Eqns., 190, (2003), 504–523.
[15] Y.-P. Choi, A revisit to the large-time behavior of the Vlasov/compressible Navier-Stokes equations, preprint.
[16] Y.-P. Choi, Compressible Euler equations interacting with incompressible flow, Kin. Rel. Mod., 8, (2015), 335–358.
[17] Y.-P. Choi and B. Kwon, Global well-posedness and large-time behavior for the inhomogeneous Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations, Nonlinearity, 28, (2015), 3309–3336.
[18] Y.-P. Choi and B. Kwon, Two-species flocking particles immersed in a fluid, Comm. Info. Sys., 13, (2013), 123-149.
[19] Y.-P. Choi and J. Lee, Global existence of weak and strong solutions to Cucker-Smale-Navier-Stokes equations in $\mathbb{R}^2$, Nonlinear Anal.-Real., 27, (2016), 158–182.
[20] R. Danchin, Global existence in critical spaces for compressible Navier-Stokes equations, Invent. Math., 141, (2000), 579–614.
[21] B. Desjardins, Regularity of weak solutions of the compressible isentropic Navier-Stokes equations, Comm. Partial Diff. Eqns., 22, (1997), 977–1008.
[22] L. Desvillettes and J. Mathiaud, Some aspects of the asymptotics leading from gas-particles equations towards multiphase flows equations, J. Stat. Phys., 141, (2010), 120–141.
[23] R. Duan and S. Liu, Cauchy problem on the Vlasov-Fokker-Planck equation coupled with the compressible Euler equations through the friction force, Kinetic and Related Models, 6, (2013), 687–700.
[24] D. Fang, R. Zi, T. Zhang, Decay estimates for isentropic compressible Navier-Stokes equations in bounded domain, J. Math. Anal. Appl., 386, (2012), 939–947.
[25] E. Feireisl, A. Novotný, H. Petzeltová, On the existence of globally defined weak solutions to the Navier-Stokes equations, J. Math. Fluid Mech., 3, (2001), 358–392.
[26] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin, and A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations:I. Light particles regime, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53, (2004), 1495–1515.
[27] T. Goudon, P.-E. Jabin, and A. Vasseur, Hydrodynamic limit for the Vlasov-Navier-Stokes equations:II. Fine particles regime, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 53, (2004), 1517–1536.

[28] S.-Y. Ha, M.-J. Kang and B. Kwon, A hydrodynamic model for the interaction of Cucker-Smale particles and incompressible fluids, Math. Mod. Meth. in Appl. Sci., 24, (2014), 2311–2359.
[29] D. Hoff, Global solutions of the Navier-Stokes equations for multidimensional compressible flow with discontinuous initial data, J. Diff. Eqns, 120, (1995), 215–254.
[30] N. Itaya, On the Cauchy problem for the system of fundamental equations describing the movement of compressible viscous fluids, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep., 23, (1971), 60–120.
[31] Y. Kagei and T. Kobayashi, On large time behavior of solutions to the compressible Navier-Stokes equations in the half space in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 165, (2002), 89–159.
[32] Y. Kagei and T. Kobayashi, Asymptotic behavior of solutions of the compressible Navier-Stokes equations on the half space, Arch. Rational Mech. Anal., 177, (2005), 231–330.
[33] T. Kobayashi and Y. Shibata, Decay estimates of solutions for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive gases in an exterior domain in $\mathbb{R}^3$, Comm. Math. Phys., 200, (1999), 621–659.
[34] L. Kofman, D. Pogosyan, and S. Shandarin, Structure of the universe in the two-dimensional model of adhesion, Mon. Nat. R. Astr. Soc., 242, (1990), 200–208.
[35] B. Kwon, M. Suzuki, and M. Takayama, Large-time behavior of solutions to an outflow problem for a shallow water model, J. Diff. Eqns, 255, (2013), 1883–1904.
[36] P.-L. Lions, Mathematical Topics in Fluid Mechanics, Vol. 2. Compressible models. Oxford Lecture Series in Mathematics and its Applications, 10. Oxford Science Publications. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, 1998.
[37] A. Majda, Introduction to PDEs and Waves for the Atmosphere and Ocean, Courant Lecture Notes in Mathematics, 9, AMS/CIMS, (2003).
[38] A. J. Majda and A. L. Bertozzi, Vorticity and incompressible flow, Cambridge University Press, 2002.
[39] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, The initial value problem for the equations of motion of viscous and heat-conductive gases, J. Math. Kyto Univ., 20, (1980), 67–104.
[40] A. Matsumura and T. Nishida, Initial boundary value problems for the equations of motion of compressible viscous and heat-conductive fluids, Comm. Math. Phys., 89, (1983), 445–464.
[41] A. Mellet and A. Vasseur, Global weak solutions for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Navier-Stokes system of equations, Math. Mod. Meth. in Appl. Sci., 17, (2007), 1039–1063.
[42] A. Mellet and A. Vasseur, Asymptotic analysis for a Vlasov-Fokker-Planck/Compressible Navier- Stokes equations, Comm. Math. Phys., 281, (2008), 573–596.
[43] M. Perepelitsa, Model problem for the motion of a compressible, viscous flow with the no-slip boundary condition, Z. Angew. Math. Phys., 61, (2010), 267–276.
[44] G. Ponce, Global existence of small solution to a class of nonlinear evolution equations, Nonlinear Anal., 9, (1985), 399-418.
[45] P. O'Rourke, Collective drop effects on vaporising liquid sprays, Ph. D. Thesis, Princeton University, Princeton, NJ, 1981.
[46] S.F. Shandarin and Ya.B. Zeldovich, The large-scale structures of the universe: Turbulence, intermittency, structures in a self-gravitating medium, Rev. Mod. Phys., 61, (1989), 185–220.
[47] R. Racke, Lectures on nonlinear evolution equations, Initial value problems, Second edition, Birkhäuser Verlag, Basel (2015)
[48] W. Ranz and W. Marshall, Evaporization from drops, Chem. Eng. Prog., 48, (1952), 141–180.
[49] M. Vergassola, B. Dubrulle, U. Frisch, and A. Noullez, Burgers' equations, devil's staircases and the mass distribution function for large-scale structures, Astron & Astrophys, 289, (1994), 325–356.
[50] I. Vinkovic, C. Aguirre, S. Simoëns, and M. Gorokhovski, Large eddy simulation of droplet dispersion for inhomogeneous turbulent wall flow, Int. J. Multiph. Flow, 32, (2006), 344–364.
[51] F. A. Williams, Spray combustion and atomization, Phys. Fluids, 1, (1958), 541–555.
[52] E. Weinan Y. Rykov and T. Sinai, Generalized variational principles, global weak solutions, and behavior with random initial data for systems of conservation laws arising in adhesion particle dynamics, Comm. Math. Phys., 177, (1996), 349–380.
[53] Ya.B. Zeldovich, Gravitational instability: An approximate theory for large density perturbations, Astron & Astrophys, 5, (1970), 84–89.

(Young-Pil Choi)
Fakultät für Mathematik
Technische Universität München, Boltzmannstrsse, 85748, Garching bei München, Germany
E-mail address: ychoi@ma.tum.de

(Bongsuk Kwon)
Department of Mathematical Sciences, School of Natural Science
Ulsan National Institute of Science and Technology, Ulsan 689-798, Korea
E-mail address: bkwon@unist.ac.kr